

Homotopy theory with $*$ -categories

Ulrich Bunke*

December 13, 2016

Abstract

We calculate homotopy G -fixed points and homotopy G -orbits in various types of $*$ -categories. To this end we construct good model category structures on the category of $*$ -categories and $\mathbb{C}$ -linear versions. For our calculation we then use injective or projective model category structures on the category G -equivariant $*$ -categories and explicite (co)fibrant resolutions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	$*$-categories and linear versions	5
3	Adjunctions	9
4	Classifier categories	11
5	Unitary equivalences and ∞-categories of $*$-categories	14
6	The tensor and power structure over groupoids	16
7	The resolution	21
8	Completeness and cocompleteness	23
9	Verification of the model category axioms	26
10	The simplicial axiom	32
11	Cofibrant generation and local presentability	34

*Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, GERMANY
ulrich.bunke@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

12 G-fixed points	37
13 Homotopy G-fixed points	38
14 X-controlled objects and G-actions	42
15 G-orbits	45
References	50

1 Introduction

1.1 Model categories

In this note we construct model category structures on the following categories of small categories with additional structures:

1. $*$ -categories $*\mathbf{Cat}_1$, i.e. categories $\mathbf{A}$ with an involution $*$: $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{op}$.
2. $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories $*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$, i.e. categories enriched over $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces with an anti-linear involution.
3. pre- C^* -categories $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ (these are the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories which admit a maximal C^* -completion)
4. C^* -categories $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$.

The case of C^* -categories has been considered previously in [Del10] and the most arguments are modifications of the arguments given in [Del10] in order to be applicable in the other cases.

Remark 1.1. We consider $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories since this case fits with the C^* -examples. The assertions about the model category on $*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ and the version of Theorem 13.5 extends to the case where $\mathbb{C}$ is replaced by an arbitrary ring with involution. $\square$

We now state the main result in detail. Let $\mathcal{C}$ belong to the list of categories

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\} \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.2.

1. A weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ is a unitary equivalence.
2. A cofibration is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ which is injective on objects.
3. A fibration is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ which has the right-lifting property with respect to trivial cofibrations.

For the simplicial structure we refer to Definition 6.15 below since its introduction needs more notation. For the definition of the notion of a cofibrantly generated model category we refer to [Hov99, Def. 2.1.17].

Theorem 1.3. *The structures described in Definition 1.2 and Definition 6.15 equip $\mathcal{C}$ with a simplicial cofibrantly generated model structure. If $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list*

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\} ,$$

then the underlying category is locally presentable.

Remark 1.4. In the case of $\mathcal{C} = C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ a proof of this theorem (except the local presentability) has been given in [Del10]. $\square$

Remark 1.5. A cofibrantly generated simplicial model category which is in addition locally presentable is called combinatorial [Dug], [Lur09, A.2.6.1]. Hence $*\mathbf{Cat}_1$, $*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}$ and $C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ have combinatorial simplicial model category structures.

We do not have an argument that $C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is locally presentable. $\square$

All categories $\mathcal{C}$ in the list (1.1) have a notion of unitary equivalence. Inverting the unitary equivalences $W_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the realm of $(\infty, 1)$ (short ∞)-categories we obtain as list

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}, *\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}, C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}\}$$

of ∞ -categories $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} := \mathcal{C}[W_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}]$.

Remark 1.6. More precisely, we model ∞ -categories as quasi-categories. We identify categories with ∞ -categories using the nerve functor. We will omit the nerve from the notation. For example, the localization functor is written as

$$\ell : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\infty} ,$$

though in a more precise notation it would be represented by a map of simplicial sets $N(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow C_{\infty}$. $\square$

Remark 1.7. The model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ asserted Theorem 1.3 provides a model for $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$. To this end we consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{cf}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ of cofibrant/fibrant objects which is enriched in Kan complexes. Then we have an equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} \simeq N(\mathcal{C}^{cf})$, where $N(\mathcal{C}^{cf})$ is the coherent nerve of the category $\mathcal{C}^{cf}$. $\square$

The ∞ -category associated as described in Remark 1.7 to a simplicial and combinatorial model category is presentable. Consequently Theorem 1.3 implies:

Corollary 1.8. *The ∞ -categories $*\mathbf{Cat}$, $*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}$ and $C^*\mathbf{Cat}$ are presentable.*

1.2 Homotopy fixed points and orbits

The motivation for doing this work comes from an application to equivariant (coarse) homology theories which are forms of K -theory. In following we explain two applications in greater detail.

In the first our task is to identify a limit in an ∞ -category through classical constructions. More precisely, let G be a group. We are interested in the limit over BG of a G -object $\ell(\mathbf{A})$ in $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ which comes from a G -object $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. If we model the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ by a good model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$, then we can calculate $\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A})$ through a homotopy limit of $\mathbf{A}$ using the injective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. Using an explicit fibrant replacement functor, in Definition 12.1 we will define an object $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G$ in $\mathcal{C}$. In Theorem 13.5 we verify that it represents the homotopy limit, i.e., that we have the equivalence

$$\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \ell(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G).$$

Remark 1.9. We are interested in these calculations of homotopy fixed points since they appear in the investigation of equivariant coarse homology theories. A coarse homology theory is a functor

$$E : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$$

from the category **BornCoarse** of bornological coarse spaces to spectra (or other cocomplete stable ∞ -categories) satisfying certain invariance and descent conditions. We refer to [BE16] for details. Often such a coarse homology theory is defined as a composition

$$E = K \circ \ell \circ \mathbf{V}.$$

Here $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list (1.1) (or is some other type of category), $\ell : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty$ is the localization by unitary (or some other sort of) equivalences, $K : \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ is a version of K -theory, and $\mathbf{V} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor which associates to X a category belonging to $\mathcal{C}$ of controlled objects over X (see Section 14).

If G is a group which acts on X , then we can interpret X as an object of the functor category

$$X \in \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{BornCoarse}).$$

By functoriality of $\mathbf{V}$ we then get an object

$$\mathbf{V}(X) \in \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$$

which plays the role of $\mathbf{A}$ above. In the study of equivariant homology theories the task is to understand the spectrum

$$K^G(X) := K(\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{V}(X))).$$

In the present paper we calculate the limit in the argument of the K -theory functor. It is represented by the object $\widehat{\mathbf{V}(X)}^G$ of $\mathcal{C}$ (the object $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G$ from above) such that we have a natural equivalence

$$\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{V}(X)) \simeq \ell(\widehat{\mathbf{V}(X)}^G)$$

in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. The category $\widehat{\mathbf{V}(X)}^G$ will be described explicitly in Example 14.10. $\square$

In the second application the task is to identify a colimit over BG of a G -object $\ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}})$ in $\mathcal{C}$, where the G -object $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ is an object $\mathbf{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with the trivial G -action.

Again we want to identify this colimit in the realm of an ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ by a classical construction. Our main result is Theorem 15.5 which asserts the equivalence

$$\operatorname{colim}_{BG} \ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq \ell(\mathbf{C} \sharp BG) ,$$

where the right-hand side involves the tensor product between objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and groupoids which we will introduce in Section 6.

Remark 1.10. This result is relevant since it implies that the common equivariant K -homology functors can be obtained as a composition $K(j_!(\ell(\underline{\Delta}^0)))$, where Δ^0 is the object classifier defined in Definition 4.2, and $j_!$ is the left Kan-extension functor (15.1) which turns G -equivariant objects into functors defined on the orbit category $\mathbf{Orb}(G)$ of G . We refer to Example 15.10 for details. $\square$

Acknowledgement: The author thanks Markus Land for valuable discussions and pointing out the reference [Del10]. Further I profited from discussions with Denis-Charles Cisinski about injective model category structures. Finally, I thank Dmitri Pavlov for convincing me that Proposition 11.6 should be true.

2 *-categories and linear versions

In this section we introduce the notions of a $*$ -category and various $\mathbb{C}$ -linear versions.

Definition 2.1. A $*$ -category $(\mathbf{A}, *)$ is a small category $\mathbf{A}$ with an involution $*$: $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{op}$ which fixes the objects. A morphism between $*$ -categories is a functor between the underlying categories which preserves the involutions.

If f is a morphism in a $*$ -category, then we will write f^* for the image of f under the involution $*$. We let $^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ denote the category of $*$ -categories. Usually we will just use the notation $\mathbf{A}$ instead of $(\mathbf{A}, *)$.

Example 2.2. Let G be a group and form the category BG with a single object pt and the set of morphisms G such that the composition is given by the group multiplication. We can turn BG into a $*$ -category by setting

$$g^* := g^{-1} .$$

More generally, if $\mathbf{G}$ is a groupoid, then we can define a $*$ -operation on $\mathbf{G}$ by $g^* := g^{-1}$. $\square$

Definition 2.3. A $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category is a $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ which is in addition enriched over $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces such that and for all objects a, a' of $\mathbf{A}$ the map

$$* : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a', a)$$

is $\mathbb{C}$ -anti-linear. A morphism between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories is a morphism between $*$ -categories which is also a functor between $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space enriched categories.

Remark 2.4. Note that in a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ for any two objects $a, a' \in \mathbf{A}$ the morphism space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$ is not empty. But it may be the zero vector space. This in particular applies to the endomorphisms $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}}(a)$. If this is the zero vector space, then we have $\text{id}_a = 0$. In this case the object a will be called a zero object. The morphism spaces from and to zero objects are zero vector spaces. $\square$

We let $*\text{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ denote the category of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories.

Example 2.5. An algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with an anti-linear involution can be considered as a $*$ -category with one object pt and $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of $\text{Hom}_A(pt, pt) := A$. The composition is given by the algebra multiplication, and the involution on A induces the $*$ -functor.

An important example is the underlying algebra with involution of a C^* -algebra.

We will also encounter the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\Delta_{*\text{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}^0$ associated to the algebra $\mathbb{C}$.

A particular example is the zero algebra 0 and the associated $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{0}$. The unique object in this $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category is a zero object. $\square$

In the following we consider the zero algebra as a C^* -algebra. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category.

Definition 2.6. A representation of $\mathbf{A}$ in a C^* -algebra B is a map $\rho : \text{Mor}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow B$ with the following properties:

1. For every two objects a, a' of $\mathbf{A}$ the restriction of ρ to the subset $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$ of $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow B$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.
2. $\rho(f \circ g) = \rho(f)\rho(g)$ for all pairs of composable morphisms f, g in $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{A})$
3. $\rho(f^*) = \rho(f)^*$ for all f in $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{A})$

Remark 2.7. One could say that a representation ρ as in Definition 2.6 is a possibly non-unital morphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$, where $\mathbf{B}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category associated to the C^* -algebra B . Since we do not want to talk about non-unital morphisms we avoid to use this interpretation. $\square$

Example 2.8. We consider the commutative ring

$$A := \mathbb{C}[x](((x - r)^{-1})_{r \in \mathbb{R}})$$

with $*$ -operation given by $x^* = x$ as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$. Assume that $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ is a representation in a non-zero C^* -algebra. Then $\rho(1)$ is a non-zero selfadjoint idempotent

which commutes with $\rho(a)$ for all elements a of A . We can form the non-zero C^* -algebra $B' := \rho(1)B\rho(1)$ with unit $1' := \rho(1)$ and obtain a unital representation $\rho' : A \rightarrow B'$ given by $\rho'(a) := \rho(1)\rho(a)\rho(1)$. Then $\rho'(x)$ would be an invertible selfadjoint element in B' with empty spectrum. This is impossible. Therefore $\mathbf{A}$ does not admit any representation in a non-zero C^* -algebra. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category and f be a morphism in $\mathbf{A}$.

Definition 2.9. We define the maximal norm of the morphism f by

$$\|f\|_{\max} := \sup_{\rho} \|\rho(f)\|_B \in [0, \infty] ,$$

where the supremum is taken over all representations of $\mathbf{A}$ in C^* -algebras B and $\| - \|_B$ denotes the norm of B .

We note the following facts about the maximal norm on a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$:

1. For every morphism f of $\mathbf{A}$ we have $\|f\|_{\max} \geq 0$ since we always have the representation into the zero algebra.
2. For every two composable morphisms f and g of $\mathbf{A}$ we have the inequality $\|g \circ f\|_{\max} \leq \|g\|_{\max} \|f\|_{\max}$.
3. For every morphism f of $\mathbf{A}$ we have the C^* -equality $\|f\|^2 = \|f^* f\|$.
4. For every pair f, g of parallel morphisms in $\mathbf{A}$ we have the C^* -inequality $\|f\|^2 \leq \|f^* \circ f + g^* \circ g\|$.

The last three properties hold true since they are satisfied in every representation of $\mathbf{A}$.

Definition 2.10. A pre- C^* -category is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category in which every morphism has a finite norm.

Example 2.11. If $\mathbf{A}$ comes from a C^* -algebra A with $\| - \|_A$, the representations of $\mathbf{A}$ in C^* -algebras B correspond to C^* -algebra homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$. Since morphisms between C^* -algebras are norm-bounded by 1 we have the equality

$$\| - \|_{\max} = \| - \|_A .$$

Therefore $\mathbf{A}$ is a pre- C^* -category. $\square$

Example 2.12. Let $A := \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the polynomial ring with the $*$ -operation given by complex conjugation. We consider A as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$. Every real number μ provides a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho_{\mu} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by evaluation of the polynomial at μ . We have $\|\rho_{\mu}(x)\| = |\mu|$. Hence $\|x\|_{\max} = \infty$. Consequently, $\mathbf{A}$ is not a pre- C^* -category.

If K is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$, then we define for $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$

$$\|f\|_K := \sup_{k \in K} \rho_k(f) .$$

If we take the closure of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ with respect to this norm, then we get the C^* -algebra of continuous functions $C(K)$ on K . This shows that a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category which is not a pre- C^* -category still may have many non-trivial C^* -closures. $\square$

Example 2.13. We consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ from Example 2.8. Since it has no non-trivial $*$ -representations the maximal norm on it is trivial. Consequently it is a pre- C^* -category. $\square$

Definition 2.14. A C^* -category is a pre- C^* -category in which for every pair of objects the morphism vector space is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\| - \|_{\max}$.

Remark 2.15. According to the usual definition a C^* -category $\mathbf{C}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category in which the morphism spaces are equipped with norms such that:

1. The morphism spaces are complete.
2. For composable morphisms we have $\|f \circ g\| \leq \|g\| \|f\|$
3. The C^* -identity $\|f^* \circ f\| = \|f\|^2$ holds true for every morphism f .
4. For every pair f, g of parallel morphisms the C^* -inequality $\|f\|^2 \leq \|f^* f + g^* g\|$ holds true.

We claim that the maximal norm on such a category considered as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category coincides with the given norm. Since every representation of C^* -categories (in the usual definition) is norm-decreasing we conclude that the maximal norm on $\mathbf{C}$ is smaller than the given norm.

In order to show equality we form the C^* -algebra

$$A(\mathbf{C}) := \bigoplus_{c, c' \in \mathbf{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c, c')$$

(the sum is taken in the category of Banach spaces and involves completion) with the composition given by matrix multiplication and the obvious $*$ -operation. Let $\rho : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A(\mathbf{C})$ denote the canonical representation. Then $\|f\| = \|\rho(f)\|_{A(\mathbf{C})}$.

This implies that our definition of a C^* -category coincides with the classical one. Furthermore, being a C^* -category is just a property of a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category and not an additional structure. $\square$

We have a chain of inclusions of subcategories

$$C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \subseteq C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \subseteq {}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{C\text{-}lin} \subseteq {}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{Cat}_1, \quad (2.1)$$

where the first three are full.

Remark 2.16. The categories $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$, ${}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{C\text{-}lin}$, ${}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$, and $\mathbf{Cat}$ are closed under taking full subcategories. For $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ this follows from Remark 2.15, and for the other two examples this is clear.

For $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$, going to a full subcategory may increase the maximal norm since there might be functors from the subcategory to C^* -algebras which do not extend to the whole category. We can not exclude that it becomes infinite. $\square$

3 Adjunctions

The inclusions in the chain (2.1) are right or left adjoints of adjunctions which we now describe. The presence of these adjunctions turns out to be useful at various places. They will be lifted to the infinity-categorical versions in Section 5.

Given a category A we can form the free $*$ -category $\text{Free}^*(A)$ on A . We have an adjunction

$$\text{Free}^* : \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightleftarrows {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 : e , \quad (3.1)$$

where e denotes the inclusion.

We furthermore have an adjunction

$$\text{Lin} : {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightleftarrows {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} : k , \quad (3.2)$$

where Lin is the linearization functor and k denotes the inclusion.

Remark 3.1. Here is the explicit description of Lin . If $\mathbf{A}$ is a $*$ -category, then $\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A})$ has the same objects as $\mathbf{A}$, but

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A})}(a, a') := \mathbb{C}[\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')]$$

and the composition is defined in the canonical way. Note that $\mathbb{C}[\emptyset] := \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, for a set X and an element x of X we consider x as an element of the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}[X]$ generated by X in the canonical way. The functor $*$: $\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\mathbf{A})^{op}$ is defined as the anti-linear extension of $*$.

Let us check for a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{B}$ the natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{*}\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}(\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{B}) \cong \text{Hom}_{*}\mathbf{Cat}_1(\mathbf{A}, k(\mathbf{B})) .$$

It identifies a morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow k(\mathbf{B})$ with a morphism $\Psi : \text{Lin}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. The functors coincide on objects. Given Φ and a morphism f in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A})}(a, a')$ we define $\Psi(f) := \sum_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')} \lambda_{\phi} \Phi(\phi)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Phi(a), \Phi(a'))$, where the equality $f = \sum_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')} \lambda_{\phi} \phi$ uniquely determines the collection of complex numbers $(\lambda_{\phi})_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')}$. Vice versa, if Ψ is given, then for f in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$ we define $\Phi(f) := \Psi(f)$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Psi(a), \Psi(a'))$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. We note that if $\mathbf{B}$ contains zero objects, then $\text{Hom}_{*}\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}(\mathbf{B}, \text{Lin}(A)) = \emptyset$. $\square$

We have an adjunction

$$\text{Compl} : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightleftarrows C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 : \kappa , \quad (3.3)$$

where κ denotes the inclusion and Compl is the completion functor.

Remark 3.3. In the following we give an explicit description. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a pre- C^* -category. The completion functor is the identity on objects. Furthermore, it completes the morphism spaces in the norm $\|-\|_{max}$. Note that this completion involves factoring out the subspace of elements of zero norm.

In this completion process the objects a of $\mathbf{A}$ with $\|\mathrm{id}_a\| = 0$ become zero objects.

Let us check the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathrm{Compl}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{B}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}, \kappa(\mathbf{B}))$$

for a C^* -category $\mathbf{B}$. This bijection sends a morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \kappa(\mathbf{B})$ to a morphism $\Psi : \mathrm{Compl}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and vice versa. These functors coincide on objects. Given Φ we can define Ψ by extension by continuity (using that the morphism spaces in $\mathbf{B}$ are complete). Note that Φ necessarily annihilates all morphisms of zero norm and therefore factorizes over the quotients taken in the process of completion.

Vice versa, given Ψ we define Φ by restriction along the natural maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Compl}(\mathbf{A})}(a, a')$$

for all pairs of objects a, a' of $\mathbf{A}$.

One easily checks that these processes are inverse to each other. □

Example 3.4. We continue with Example 2.2. The linearization

$$\mathrm{Lin}(*BG) \cong \mathbb{C}[G]$$

of G with its usual involution is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category. It is actually a pre- C^* -category. In order to see this note that the elements of the group go to partial isometries in every representation. Furthermore,

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Compl}(\mathrm{Lin}(*BG))}(*) =: C_{max}^*(G)$$

is the maximal group- C^* -algebra. □

Example 3.5. We consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ from Example 2.8. We get $\mathrm{Compl}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{0}$. □

The relation between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories and pre- C^* -categories is more complicated. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category. Then we can define a subcategory $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ which has the same objects as $\mathbf{A}$, and whose morphisms are those morphisms of $\mathbf{A}$ with finite maximal norm. Note that $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ is closed under composition and contains the identities of the objects since they are sent to selfadjoint projections in any representation of $\mathbf{A}$ in a C^* -algebra and therefore have maximal norm bounded above by 1. Hence $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ is indeed a category. It is furthermore clear that $\mathrm{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category with enrichment and $*$ -operation induced from $\mathbf{A}$.

Since $\text{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ may have representations to C^* -algebras which do not extend to $\mathbf{A}$ we can not expect that $\text{Bd}(\mathbf{A})$ is a pre- C^* -category. But we can iterate the construction and consider

$$\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A}) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Bd}^n(\mathbf{A}) .$$

This is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -subcategory of $\mathbf{A}$.

Example 3.6. We continue with Example 2.12. The bounded elements in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ are the constant polynomials. Hence $\text{Bd}(\mathbb{C}[x]) \cong \Delta^0$. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category.

Lemma 3.7.

1. $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A})$ is a pre- C^* -category.
2. Any functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories where $\mathbf{C}$ is a pre- C^* -category factorizes uniquely over $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A})$.
3. There is an adjunction

$$\iota : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightleftarrows {}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} : \text{Bd}^\infty , \quad (3.4)$$

where ι denotes the inclusion.

Proof. We can identify $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A}) \cong \text{Bd}(\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A}))$. Let f be a morphism in $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A})$. Then we can consider f as a morphism in $\text{Bd}(\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A}))$ which implies that f has a finite maximal norm. This proves 1.

Let $\phi : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories where $\mathbf{C}$ is a pre- C^* -category. We show 2 by contradiction. Assume that there exists a natural number n such that $\phi(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \text{Bd}^n(\mathbf{A})$, but not $\phi(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq \text{Bd}^{n+1}(\mathbf{A})$. Then there exists a morphism f in $\mathbf{C}$ and a family of representations $(\rho_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\text{Bd}^n(\mathbf{A})$ in a family of C^* -algebras $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|\rho_k(\phi(f))\|_{B_k} \geq k$ for every natural number k . Since the composition $\rho_k \circ \phi$ is a representation of $\mathbf{C}$ we see that $k \leq \|f\|_{\max}$ for all every natural number k . This contradicts the assumption that $\mathbf{C}$ is a pre- C^* -category.

The Assertion 3 now follows from Assertion 2. $\square$

4 Classifier categories

In this section we discuss the representability of the functors which take the sets objects, morphisms or unitary morphisms of a $*$ -category in the respective cases. The role of this section is an illustration. We use the opportunity to explain the categorical meaning of the examples which will be used later.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be in the list*

$$\{\mathbf{Cat}_1, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\} .$$

The functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ which sends a category in $\mathcal{C}$ to its set of objects is representable.

Definition 4.2. *The object $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^0$ which represents this functor will be called the object classifier.*

Proof. This is a case-by-case discussion. In $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ we can set

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0 := * ,$$

the category with one object and one morphism. Then in view of the adjunction (3.1) we have

$$\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0 := \text{Free}^*(\Delta_{\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0) .$$

Its underlying category is again $*$.

In view of the adjunction (3.2) we have

$$\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}^0 \cong \text{Lin}(\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0) .$$

This is the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category associated to the C^* -algebra $\mathbb{C}$ and hence is a C^* -category. We conclude that

$$\Delta_{C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0 \cong \Delta_{C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^0 \cong \Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}^0 .$$

□

Usually we will omit the subscript $\mathcal{C}$ when the context is clear and just write Δ^0 for the object classifier.

Lemma 4.3. *For $\mathcal{C} \in \{\mathbf{Cat}_1, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}\}$ the functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ which sends a category in $\mathcal{C}$ to its set of morphisms is representable. For $\mathcal{C} \in \{C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$ this functor is not representable.*

Definition 4.4. *The object $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^1$ which represents this functor will be called the morphism classifier.*

Proof. This is again a case-by-case discussion. The morphism classifiers have two objects 0 and 1 corresponding to the source and target of the morphism.

In $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ we let $\Delta_{\mathbf{Cat}_1}^1$ be the category with one non-trivial morphism $a : 0 \rightarrow 1$. Then in view of the adjunction (3.1) we have

$$\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^1 := \text{Free}^*(\Delta_{\mathbf{Cat}_1}^1) .$$

For example, a morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ in this category is a word $aa^*aa^*\dots a^*a$. In view of the adjunction (3.2) we have

$$\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}^1 \cong \text{Lin}(\Delta_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}^1) .$$

Assume that the pre- C^* -category $\mathbf{C}$ represents the morphism-set functor in $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$. Let $a : 0 \rightarrow 1$ be the universal morphism. As in any non-trivial pre- C^* -category there exists morphisms of arbitrary large maximal norm (just scale) we have $\|a\|_{max} = \infty$ contradicting the assumption that $\mathbf{C}$ is a pre- C^* -category. The same reasoning applies to $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$. $\square$

The following replaces the morphisms classifier in the C^* -category case.

Lemma 4.5. *The functor $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ which sends $\mathbf{A}$ to the set of morphisms with maximal norm bounded by 1 is representable.*

Definition 4.6. *The object $\Delta_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}^{1,bd}$ which represents this functor will be called the bounded morphism classifier.*

Proof. We start with the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1$. We add formal inverses of $\lambda - a^* a$ in $\text{End}_{\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1}(0)$ and $\lambda - aa^*$ in $\text{End}_{\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1}(1)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$ and obtain a new $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\widetilde{\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1}$. Spectral theory implies that $\|a\|_{max} = \|a^*\|_{max} \leq 1$. Hence $\widetilde{\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1}$ is a pre- C^* -category. One now easily checks that

$$\Delta_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}^{1,bd} := \text{Compl}(\widetilde{\Delta_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}}^1})$$

has the required universal property. $\square$

We now discuss the notion of unitaries. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be in the list

$$\{ * \mathbf{Cat}_1, * \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \} .$$

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$, a, a' be objects of $\mathbf{A}$, and u be a morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$.

Definition 4.7. *The morphism u is called unitary if $u^* u = \text{id}_a$ and $u u^* = \text{id}_{a'}$.*

Note that the zero morphism between two zero objects is a unitary.

Remark 4.8. If u is a unitary morphism in a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then any representation sends u to a selfadjoint idempotent. Hence $\|u\|_{max} \leq 1$. But it may happen that $\|u\| = 0$. This is e.g. the case if u is the identity of the unique object of the pre- C^* -category considered in Example 2.8.

For a $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ the counit $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\mathbf{A})$ preserves unitaries. Similarly, for a pre- C^* -category $\mathbf{A}$ the natural morphism $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \text{Compl}(\mathbf{A})$ preserves unitaries.

For a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{B}$ the counit $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is bijective on unitaries. $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be in the list*

$$\{ * \mathbf{Cat}_1, * \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}-lin}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \} .$$

The functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ which sends a category in $\mathcal{C}$ to its set of unitary morphisms is representable.

Definition 4.10. The object $\mathbf{1}_C$ which represents this functor will be called the unitary morphism classifier.

Proof. We perform a case-by-case discussion. In ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ we define $\mathbf{1}_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}$ to be the category with objects 0 and 1 and non-trivial morphisms $u : 0 \rightarrow 1$ and $u^* = u^{-1} : 1 \rightarrow 0$. In view of the adjunction (3.2) we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}} \cong \text{Lin}(\mathbf{1}_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1}) .$$

Since the generator u is sent to a unitary in any representation it is clear that $\mathbf{1}_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}$ is a pre- C^* -category. Since $\|u\|_{\max} = 1$ it is actually a C^* -category.

Hence we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{C_{\text{pre}}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1} \cong \mathbf{1}_{*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}} \cong \mathbf{1}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1} .$$

□

We now consider just categories.

Lemma 4.11. The functor which sends a category to its set of invertible morphisms is representable.

Definition 4.12. We call a category $\mathbb{I}$ which represents this functor the classifier of invertible morphisms.

Proof. The groupoid $\mathbb{I}$ of the shape

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \circlearrowleft & \\ 0 & & 1 \\ & \circlearrowright & \end{array}$$

has the desired properties. The morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ is the universal invertible morphism.

□

Remark 4.13. The groupoid $\mathbb{I}$ is also the morphism classifier in $\mathbf{Grpd}_1$.

□

5 Unitary equivalences and ∞ -categories of $*$ -categories

In this section we introduce the ∞ -categories of $*$ -categories, $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories, pre- C^* -categories and C^* -categories by inverting unitary equivalences.

Let $f, g : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a parallel pair of morphisms between $*$ -categories.

Definition 5.1. We say that f and g are unitarily equivalent, if there exists a natural transformation $u : f \rightarrow g$ such that $u(a)$ is a unitary in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(f(a), g(a))$ for all objects a of $\mathbf{A}$.

Let $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a morphism between $*$ -categories.

Definition 5.2. The morphism f is an equivalence if there exists a morphism $g : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ between $*$ -categories such that $f \circ g$ is unitarily equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $g \circ f$ is unitarily equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Remark 5.3. Below we will use the following notation. If $(\mathcal{C}, W)$ is a relative category, i.e. a category with a set of morphisms W , then we let $\mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$ denote the localization of $\mathcal{C}$ in the realm of ∞ -categories. An explicit model for $\mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$ in quasi categories is given by $N(L_W^H(\mathcal{C})^{fib})$ where L_W^H is the simplicial category obtained by the hammock localization, $(-)^{fib}$ is the fibrant replacement in simplicial categories, and finally N is the coherent nerve sending a fibrant simplicial category to a quasi category. We can consider $\mathcal{C}$ as an ∞ -category (e.g. by taking its nerve if we work with quasi categories), and we have a localization functor $\ell : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$. $\square$

We let W denote the unitary equivalences in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$.

Definition 5.4. We define the ∞ -categories

$${}^*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin} := {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}[(W \cap {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin})^{-1}] , \quad {}^*\mathbf{Cat} := {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1[W^{-1}] .$$

Lemma 5.5. The adjunction (3.2) induces an adjunction

$$\text{Lin} : {}^*\mathbf{Cat} \rightleftharpoons {}^*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin} : \text{inclusion} , \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. We first observe that the inclusion k and the linearization functor preserves unitary equivalences. Hence they descend naturally to the ∞ -categories. We further observe that the unit and counit of the adjunction (3.2) induces unit and counit for the desired adjunction (5.1) which satisfy the required triple identities on the level of homotopy categories. The resulting adjunction of the level of homotopy categories lifts essentially uniquely to an adjunction on the level of ∞ -categories. $\square$

Definition 5.6. We define the ∞ -categories

$$C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat} := C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1[(W \cap C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)^{-1}] , \quad C^* \mathbf{Cat} := C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1[(W \cap C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)^{-1}] .$$

Lemma 5.7. The adjunction (3.3) induces an adjunction

$$\text{Compl} : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat} \rightleftharpoons C^* \mathbf{Cat} : \text{inclusion} , \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. We first observe that the inclusion κ and the completion functor preserves unitary equivalences. Hence they descend naturally to the ∞ -categories. Then we argue as in the proof of Lemma 5.5. $\square$

Lemma 5.8. The adjunction (3.4) induces an adjunction

$$\iota : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat} \rightleftharpoons {}^*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin} : \text{Bd}^\infty . \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. The inclusion ι preserves unitary equivalences. The operation Bd^∞ also preserves unitary equivalences since $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A})$ contains all unitary morphisms of $\mathbf{A}$. We now argue as in the proof of Lemma 5.5. $\square$

Convention 5.9. We use the same notation ℓ for the localization functors

$$\begin{aligned} \ell : {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 &\rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat} , & \ell : {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin} &\rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin} , \\ \ell : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 &\rightarrow C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat} , & \ell : C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 &\rightarrow C^* \mathbf{Cat} \end{aligned}$$

in all four cases.

6 The tensor and power structure over groupoids

In this section we let $\mathbf{G}$ be a category. Later we will assume that it is a groupoid.

For a category $\mathbf{A}$ we consider the functor category $\mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ whose objects are functors from $\mathbf{G}$ to $\mathbf{A}$, and whose morphisms are natural transformations between functors. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a $*$ -category, then we define an involution

$$* : \mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$$

such that it sends a morphism

$$f = (f_g)_{g \in \mathbf{G}} : a \rightarrow a'$$

in $\mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ with $f_g : a(g) \rightarrow a'(g)$ to the morphism $f^* := (f_g^*)_{g \in \mathbf{G}} : a' \rightarrow a$. In this way we can interpret this construction as a functor

$$\mathcal{F}un(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

Assume furthermore that $\mathbf{A}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category. Then the enrichment of $\mathbf{A}$ over complex vector spaces naturally induces an enrichment of $\mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$. We therefore get a functor

$$\mathcal{F}un(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} .$$

So far the constructions were more or less obvious.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $*$ -category..

Definition 6.1. *We call a functor a in $\mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ unitary if $a(\phi)$ is unitary for all morphisms ϕ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(g, h)$.*

We let $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}un(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ of unitary functors. It is a $*$ -category by Remark 2.16. Similarly, if $\mathbf{A}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then so is $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$. We thus have functors

$$\mathcal{F}un^u(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}un^u(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} .$$

Remark 6.2. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a C^* -category, then we can not expect that $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is a C^* -category again. For the simplest counter example let $\mathbf{G}$ be an infinite set and $\mathbf{A}$ be the category associated to a C^* -algebra A . Then $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category with one object and with morphisms $\prod_{\mathbf{G}} A$. But this is not even a pre- C^* -category. In order to get a C^* -category, for the morphisms we should take the uniformly bounded sequences $\prod_{g \in \mathbf{G}}^{bd} A$. So we must define a uniformly bounded subfunctor

$$\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) .$$

□

Definition 6.3. For a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ we define uniformly bounded subfunctor by

$$\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) := \text{Bd}^\infty(\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) .$$

By definition $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is a pre- C^* -category. Note that $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(*, \mathbf{A}) \cong \text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A})$.

Example 6.4. Assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is a set and that $\mathbf{A}$ is associated to a C^* -algebra A . Then $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ can be identified with the category with one object and the morphisms given by the C^* -algebra $\prod_{g \in \mathbf{G}}^{bd} A$. $\square$

We have defined a functor

$$\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

Remark 6.5. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a C^* -category, then at the moment we do not know whether $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is again a C^* -category, but see Corollary 6.13.

We have an obvious candidate $\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ for the functor C^* -category which is also defined as a subcategory of $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ as follows. The objects of $\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ are the objects of $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$. Given two functors a, a' in $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ we have an inclusion

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a') \subseteq \prod_{g \in \mathbf{G}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a(g), a'(g)) ,$$

where a family $(f_g)_{g \in \mathbf{G}}$ is a transformation of functors if for every morphism $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(g, h)$

$$f_h \circ a(\phi) = a'(\phi) \circ f_g . \quad (6.1)$$

We now define the morphisms of $\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a') := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a') \cap \prod_{g \in \mathbf{G}}^{bd} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a(g), a'(g)) .$$

Since the relations (6.1) are linear and continuous the morphism space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a')$ is a closed linear subspace of the Banach space $\prod_{g \in \mathbf{G}}^{bd} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a(g), a'(g))$ with the norm

$$\|(f_g)_{g \in \mathbf{G}}\| := \sup_{g \in \mathbf{G}} \|f_g\|_{max} .$$

So $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a')$ inherits a Banach space structure. Let now $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})}(a, a')$. Then we have

$$\|f^* \circ f\| = \sup_{g \in \mathbf{G}} \|f_g^* \circ f_g\| = \sup_{g \in \mathbf{G}} \|f_g\| = \|f\| ,$$

i.e. the C^* -identity is satisfied. Similarly we conclude the C^* -inequality 4. It follows from the universal property of Bd^∞ that we have a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories

$$\mathcal{F}un^r(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) .$$

We now not know whether this is an isomorphism. $\square$

Definition 6.6. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a C^* -category, then we define the C^* -category

$$\mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) := \text{Compl}(\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) .$$

We have defined a functor

$$\mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(-, -) : \mathbf{Cat}_1^{op} \times C^*\mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow C^*\mathbf{Cat} .$$

Remark 6.7. Later in Corollary 6.13 we will see that the completion is not necessary if $\mathbf{G}$ is a groupoid.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a groupoid and $\mathbf{A}$ be a $*$ -category. Then we can consider the category $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{G}$ as a $*$ -category with the $*$ -operation

$$(f, \phi)^* := (f^*, \phi^{-1}) . \quad (6.2)$$

Since this definition involves the inverse of the morphism ϕ of $\mathbf{G}$ it is important to assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is a groupoid. We thus have defined a functor

$$- \times - : {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 \times \mathbf{Grpd}_1 \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

In the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear case we must modify this construction. For a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ and a groupoid $\mathbf{G}$ we define $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ to be the category with the objects of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{G}$, and whose morphisms are given by the complex vector spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}}((a, g), (a', g')) := \bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(g, g')} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$$

with the obvious $*$ -operation and composition. Note that the sum over an empty index set is the zero vector space.

Example 6.8. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a $*$ -category, then we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Lin}(\mathbf{A}) \otimes \mathbf{G} \cong \text{Lin}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{G}) .$$

□

Example 6.9. If $\mathbf{G} = BH$ for some group H , then the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\Delta^0 \otimes BH$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category associated to the group ring $\mathbb{C}[H]$ with its usual involution. □

Lemma 6.10. If $\mathbf{A}$ is a pre- C^* -category, then so is $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G} = \text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}) . \quad (6.3)$$

Every morphism in $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}$ is a finite linear combination of morphisms of the form (f, ϕ) of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{G}$ with $f : a \rightarrow a'$ and $\phi : g \rightarrow g'$. We can decompose $(f, \phi) = (\text{id}_{a'}, \phi) \circ (f, \text{id}_g)$.

Let $\rho : \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G} \rightarrow B$ be a representation in a C^* -algebra B . Then we can restrict ρ to a representation of $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{A} \times \{g\} \subseteq \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}$. We conclude that $\|\rho(f, \text{id}_g)\|_B \leq \|f\|_{\max}$, where $\| - \|_{\max}$ denotes the maximal norm on $\mathbf{A}$. Furthermore, because of (6.2) we know that $\rho(\text{id}_{a'}, g)$ is a partial isometry in B . Therefore $\|\rho(f, \phi)\|_B \leq \|f\|_{\max}$. This shows that $(f, g) \in \text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G})$. Hence also all finite linear combinations of such elements belong to $\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G})$. This shows the desired equality (6.3). $\square$

We have thus defined a functor

$$- \otimes - : C_{\text{pre}}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \times \mathbf{Grpd}_1 \rightarrow C_{\text{pre}}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

For a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ and a groupoid $\mathbf{G}$ we define

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathbf{G} := \text{Compl}(\text{Bd}^\infty(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G})) .$$

If $\mathbf{A}$ was a pre- C^* -category, then by Lemma 6.10 we can simplify this to

$$\mathbf{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathbf{G} \cong \text{Compl}(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G}) .$$

We thus have defined a functor

$$- \otimes_{\max} - : C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \times \mathbf{Grpd}_1 \rightarrow C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

Example 6.11. We consider the C^* -category Δ^0 associated to the C^* -algebra $\mathbb{C}$. For a groupoid $\mathbf{G}$ the C^* -category $\Delta^0 \otimes_{\max} \mathbf{G}$ is the maximal groupoid C^* -category. In particular, if $\mathbf{G} = BH$ for a group H , then $\Delta^0 \otimes_{\max} BH$ is isomorphic to the C^* -category associated to the maximal group C^* -algebra $C_{\max}^*(H)$. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a groupoid.

Proposition 6.12. *We have the following exponential laws:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) . \\ \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) . \\ \text{Hom}_{C_{\text{pre}}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{C_{\text{pre}}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) . \\ \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\max} \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) . \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ belong to the respective categories.

Proof. We start with giving the bijection in the case of $*$ -categories. Let

$$\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) .$$

The bijection identifies this morphism with a morphism

$$\Psi \in \text{Hom}_{* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}))$$

given by

$$\Psi(c)(g) := \Phi(c, g) , \Psi(c)(\phi) := \Phi(\text{id}_c, \phi) , \Psi(f) := (\Phi(f, \text{id}_g))_{g \in \mathbf{G}} .$$

Here c is an object of $\mathbf{C}$, g is an object of $\mathbf{G}$, ϕ is a morphism in $\mathbf{G}$, and f is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. Note that $\Psi(c)$ takes values in unitary functors since

$$\Psi(c)(\phi)^* = \Phi(\text{id}_c, \phi)^* = \Phi(\text{id}_c, \phi^*) = \Phi(\text{id}_c, \phi^{-1}) = \Psi(c)(\phi)^{-1} .$$

Vice versa let the morphism Ψ be given. Then the bijection sends it to the morphism Φ given by

$$\Phi(c, g) := \Psi(c)(g) , \quad \Phi(f, \phi) := \Psi(c')(\phi) \circ \Psi(f)(g) ,$$

where $f : c \rightarrow c'$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}$ and $\phi : g \rightarrow g'$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{G}$.

The same formulas work in the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear case for morphisms of the form (f, ϕ) . The maps are then extended linearly. In the case of pre- C^* -categories we must check that Ψ takes values in the subfunctor $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ of $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$. But this is clear since Ψ is a morphism between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear categories, $\mathbf{C}$ is a pre- C^* -category, and the universal property 2 of Bd^∞ .

We finally consider the case of C^* -categories. In this case we could cite [Del10]. Here is the argument. We first observe that for a C^* -category $\mathbf{A}$ and pre- C^* -category $\mathbf{C}$ we have a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \cong \text{Hom}_{C^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{max} \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \quad (6.4)$$

by the universal property of the completion. We can use this and the completion map

$$\text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) \quad (6.5)$$

in order to produce a Ψ from a given Φ .

For the inverse we note that since $\mathbf{A}$ is complete, the evaluation functors $e_g : \mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ for $g \in \mathbf{G}$ extend to the completion and provide functors

$$\bar{e}_g : \mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A} .$$

Hence the formula which expresses Φ in terms of Ψ makes sense. It defines, by linear extension, an element in $\text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ which gives the desired $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{C^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{max} \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ using the isomorphism (6.4) above. $\square$

Corollary 6.13. *If $\mathbf{A}$ is a C^* -category, then $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is a C^* -category and the natural morphism $\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We have actually shown above that for every pre- C^* -category $\mathbf{C}$ we have

$$\text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{max} \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \cong \text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})) ,$$

and (6.4) can be written as

$$\text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) \cong \text{Hom}_{C_{pre}^* \text{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{max} \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}) .$$

The assertion of the Corollary now follows from (6.4) and the third case of Proposition 6.12. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a member of the list

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathcal{C}\text{-}lin}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\} .$$

In the following we describe a simplicial enrichment of $\mathcal{C}$.

Convention 6.14. In order to avoid a case-dependent notation we write $\sharp$ for the tensor structures with groupoids $\times$, $\otimes$, or $\otimes_{max}$ in the respective cases. $\square$

For a simplicial set K we let $\Pi(K)$ denote the fundamental groupoid. We define the tensor and cotensor structure of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathbf{sSet}$ by

$$\mathcal{C} \times \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} , \quad (\mathbf{A}, K) \mapsto \mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(K)$$

and

$$\mathbf{sSet}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} , \quad (\mathbf{A}, K) \mapsto \mathcal{F}un^u(\Pi(K), \mathbf{A}) .$$

Definition 6.15. For objects $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}'$ of $\mathcal{C}$ we define the mapping space $\mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}') \in \mathbf{sSet}$ by

$$\mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}')[-] := \mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(\Delta^-), \mathbf{A}') .$$

Then for every two objects $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}' \in \mathcal{C}$ and every simplicial sets K , by Proposition 6.12 we have a natural bijection

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(K, \mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}')) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A} \sharp K, \mathbf{A}') \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\Pi(K), \mathbf{A}')) .$$

In this way we have defined a simplicial enrichment of $\mathcal{C}$.

7 The resolution

Let G be a group. In the present section we consider the functor categories from a particular G -groupoid $\tilde{G}$. These functor categories will serve as explicit fibrant resolutions later in Section 13.

Definition 7.1. We define G -groupoid $\tilde{G}$ as follows:

1. The set of objects of $\tilde{G}$ is the set G .
2. For every pair of objects g, h of $\tilde{G}$ the set of morphisms $\mathbf{Hom}_{\tilde{G}}(g, h)$ consists of one point which we will denote by $g \rightarrow h$. The composition of morphisms is defined in the only possible way.
3. The group G acts on the groupoid $\tilde{G}$ by left multiplication.

In other symbols, in Definition 7.1 we have described an object $\tilde{G}$ of $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Grpd}_1)$.

For a G -category $\mathbf{A}$ we consider the functor category $\mathcal{F}un(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ again as a G -category. The group G acts on this functor category as follows. If $a : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is a functor, then we set $ga := g \circ a \circ g^{-1}$. The action on morphisms is similar. We interpret this construction as a functor

$$\mathcal{F}un(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Cat}_1) .$$

This construction extends to the various versions of $*$ -categories. We therefore get functors

$$\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1) ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}) ,$$

$$\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1) ,$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1) .$$

Lemma 7.2. *If $\mathbf{A}$ is a pre- C^* -category, then the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We must show that every morphism in $\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ has a finite maximal norm. If a is a unitary functor from $\tilde{G}$ to $\mathbf{A}$, then we have a unitary isomorphism

$$h_a := (a(1 \rightarrow g))_{g \in \tilde{G}} : \mathbf{const}(a(1)) \rightarrow a ,$$

where $\mathbf{const}(a(1))$ denotes the constant functor with value $a(1)$. Unitarity implies the norm estimates $\|h_a\|_{max} \leq 1$ and $\|h_a^{-1}\|_{max} \leq 1$.

If $f : a \rightarrow a'$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$, then we have the relation.

$$h_{a'} \circ \mathbf{const}(f(1)) \circ h_a^{-1} = f .$$

This implies the inequality

$$\|f\|_{max} \leq \|\mathbf{const}(f(1))\|_{max} \leq \|f(1)\|_{max} .$$

□

Using Corollary 6.13 we conclude:

Corollary 7.3. *For a C^* -category $\mathbf{A}$ the natural maps are isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{F}un^{C^*}(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}un^{bd}(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) .$$

Proposition 7.4. *We have G -equivariant versions of the exponential laws :*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, *\mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C} \times \tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, *\mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})) , \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{C\text{-}lin})}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{C\text{-}lin})}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})) , \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})) , \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C} \otimes_{max} \tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1)}(\mathbf{C}, \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})) , \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are G -categories of the respective sort.

Proof. This follows from an inspection of the proof of Proposition 6.12. $\square$

8 Completeness and cocompleteness

The goal of this section is to show the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *The categories in the list*

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{C\text{-}lin}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\}$$

are complete and cocomplete.

Remark 8.2. The case of C^* -categories is due to [Del10]. For completeness of the presentation we reprove this case together with others. $\square$

Proof. We will use that $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is complete and cocomplete. If $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_1$ is a diagram of categories, then we have canonical isomorphisms of sets

$$\mathrm{Ob}(\lim_I \mathbf{A}) \cong \lim_I \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{A}) , \quad \mathrm{Mor}(\lim_I \mathbf{A}) \cong \lim_I \mathrm{Mor}(\mathbf{A}) .$$

We start with $*\mathbf{Cat}_1$. Because of the adjunction (3.1) a limit in $*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ (provided it exists) can be calculated as follows. One first calculates the limit in $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ (i.e. one first forgets the $*$ -operation), and then restores the $*$ -operation using the functoriality of the limit.

Thus let $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow *\mathbf{Cat}_1$ be a diagram in $\mathbf{Cat}_1$. We first take the limit of the image of the diagram in $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ and then define the induced $*$ -operation.

Let

$$e : *\mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_1$$

denote the forgetful functor. We choose a category $\mathbf{B}$ together with a morphism $\mathrm{const}(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow e(\mathbf{A})$ which turns $\mathbf{B}$ into a representative of the limit $\lim_I e(\mathbf{A})$. Then we can take $\mathbf{B}^{op}$ and $\mathrm{const}(\mathbf{B})^{op} \rightarrow e(\mathbf{A})^{op}$ as a representative of the limit $\lim_I e(\mathbf{A})^{op}$. The transformation $*$: $e(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow e(\mathbf{A})^{op}$ now induces a functor $*$: $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{op}$ in the canonical way. This functor is the identity on objects. In this way $\mathbf{B}$ becomes a $*$ -category which we denote

by ${}^*\mathbf{B}$. Together with the transformation $\text{const}({}^*\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ it represents the limit $\lim_I \mathbf{A}$ in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$.

The same idea works for a diagram $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories. Let

$$k : {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$$

be the forgetful functor. Since k is the right-adjoint of the adjunction (3.2) it preserves limits. Hence we have

$$k(\lim_I \mathbf{A}) \cong \lim_I k(\mathbf{A})$$

if the limit on the left-hand side exists. In the following we argue that this is indeed the case. For a diagram $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ we first define the object $\lim_I k(\mathbf{A})$ in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$, then observe that the limit has an induced complex enrichment. We then observe that the canonical morphism

$$\text{const}(\lim_I k(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

is compatible with the enrichment and presents the $\lim_I k(\mathbf{A})$ together with the enrichment as the limit $\lim_I \mathbf{A}$.

Let

$$\iota : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} \quad (8.1)$$

denote the inclusion. For a diagram of pre- C^* -categories $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ we have

$$\lim_I \mathbf{A} \cong \text{Bd}^\infty(\lim_I \iota(\mathbf{A})) . \quad (8.2)$$

This immediately follows from the adjunction (3) since the inclusion is full.

Let

$$\kappa : C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$$

be the inclusion. Since it is the right-adjoint of an adjunction (3.3) it is clear that it preserves limits. We consider a diagram of C^* -categories $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$. Then we have

$$\kappa(\lim_I \mathbf{A}) \simeq \lim_I \kappa(\mathbf{A})$$

if the limit $\lim_I \mathbf{A}$ exists. We again argue that this is the case. Every limit can be expressed as a finite combination of equalizers and products. It therefore suffices to show that $\lim_I \kappa(\mathbf{A})$ is a C^* -algebra in the case that I is a set or a finite category.

If I is a set, then the limit is represented by the bounded product

$$\lim_I \mathbf{A} \cong \prod_{i \in I}^{bd} \mathbf{A}(i) .$$

Indeed, this product has the universal property since morphisms between C^* -categories are norm-bounded by 1.

If I is finite, then we realize $\lim_I \mathbf{A}$ as a subcategory of $\prod_I \mathbf{A}(i)$ cut out by linear $*$ -invariant continuous equations. This is again C^* -category. This finishes the proof of completeness in all cases.

We now show cocompleteness. Let again $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ be a diagram. Then we choose a category $\mathbf{B}$ together with a morphism $e(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{const}(\mathbf{B})$ which turns $\mathbf{B}$ into a representative of the colimit $\text{colim}_I e(\mathbf{A})$. Then we can take B^{op} and $e(\mathbf{A})^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{const}(\mathbf{B})^{op}$ as a representative of the colimit $\text{colim}_I e(\mathbf{A})^{op}$. The transformation $*$: $e(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow e(\mathbf{A})^{op}$ now induces a functor $*$: $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{op}$ in the canonical way. This functor is the identity on objects. Hence $\mathbf{B}$ has the structure of a $*$ -category which we will denote by ${}^*\mathbf{B}$. The canonical morphism of diagrams $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{const}({}^*\mathbf{B})$ presents ${}^*\mathbf{B}$ as the colimit $\text{colim}_I \mathbf{A}$.

Colimits in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ are more complicated. In the following we repeat the ideas of [Del10]. To every category we can associate the underlying quiver. Furthermore, $*$ -categories go to quivers with $*$ -operation. We have an adjunction

$$\text{Free} : {}^*\mathbf{Quivers} \rightleftarrows {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 : \mathcal{F} . \quad (8.3)$$

If $\mathbf{A}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then we have a natural exact sequence of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories

$$0 \rightarrow R(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{C}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow 0 ,$$

with the caveat that $R(\mathbf{C})$ is non-unital. The second map in this sequence is the counit of an adjunction. For a diagram $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ we now define $\mathbf{B}$ as the quotient

$$0 \rightarrow \langle R(\mathbf{A}(i)) \mid i \in I \rangle \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\text{colim}_I \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\langle R(\mathbf{A}(i)) \mid i \in I \rangle$ is the non-unital $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -subcategory of $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\text{colim}_I \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))$ generated by the images of $R(i)$ for i in I . One checks that for every i in I the canonical morphism

$$\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}(i)))) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\text{colim}_I \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))$$

uniquely factorizes through $\mathbf{A}(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. In this way we get a morphism of diagrams $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{const}(\mathbf{B})$. We now observe that this presents $\mathbf{B}$ as the colimit $\text{colim}_I \mathbf{A}$ in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$. Indeed, let a morphism $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{const}(\mathbf{C})$ of diagrams in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ be given. Then we get a morphism $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{const}(\mathbf{C})$ in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ from the counits of adjunctions. Hence we get a uniquely determined morphism $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\text{colim}_I \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ since colimits in quivers exist and the left-adjoints Lin and Free preserve colimits. We finally see that this morphism by definition of $\mathbf{B}$ uniquely factorizes over a morphism $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

The inclusion (8.1) is the left-adjoint of the adjunction (3.4). Consequently it preserves colimits. It therefore suffices to show that if $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ is a diagram of pre- C^* -categories, then $\text{colim}_I \iota(\mathbf{A})$ is a pre- C^* -category. Let f be a morphism in $\text{colim}_I \iota(\mathbf{A})$. We must show that $\|f\|_{max}$ is finite. Now by the description of colimits in ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ given above the morphism f is a finite linear combinations of morphisms of the form $u_i(f_i)$, where f_i belongs to $\iota(\mathbf{A}(i))$ and $u_i : \iota(\mathbf{A}(i)) \rightarrow \text{colim}_I \iota(\mathbf{A})$ is the canonical morphism. Hence we can assume that $f = u_i(f_i)$. If ρ is a representation of $\text{colim}_I \iota(\mathbf{A})$ into

a C^* -algebra B , then $\rho \circ u_i$ is a representation of $\iota(\mathbf{A}(i))$. It follows that $\|\rho(f)\|_B \leq \|\rho(u_i(f_i))\|_B \leq \|f(i)\|_{max}$.

If $\mathbf{A} : I \rightarrow C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is a diagram of C^* -categories, then we have

$$\operatorname{colim}_I \mathbf{A} \cong \operatorname{Compl}(\operatorname{colim}_I \kappa(A)) . \quad (8.4)$$

This follows immediately from the adjunction (3.3) since the inclusion is full. □

9 Verification of the model category axioms

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a member of the list

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathcal{C}\text{-}lin}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\} . \quad (9.1)$$

In this section we state the main theorem on the model category structures again. We first recall the description of cofibrations, fibrations and weak equivalences.

Definition 9.1.

1. *A weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ is a unitary equivalence.*
2. *A cofibration is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ which is injective on objects.*
3. *A fibration is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ which has the right-lifting property with respect to trivial cofibrations.*

For the simplicial structure we refer to Definition 6.15.

Theorem 9.2. *The structures described in Definition 9.1 and Definition 6.15 equip $\mathcal{C}$ with a simplicial, cofibrantly generated model structure.*

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a member of $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathcal{C}\text{-}lin}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$, then the model category is combinatorial.

Remark 9.3. In the case of $\mathcal{C} = C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ a proof of the first part of the theorem has been given in [Del10]. □

In the present section we show that the structures explained above determine a model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$. The simplicial axiom will be shown in Section 10. Finally, the additional assertions on cofibrant generation and combinatoriality are shown in Section 11

In the following we list the axioms (cf. [Hov99]) which we have to verify in order to show that $\mathcal{C}$ is a model category:

1. (completeness) Completeness and cocompleteness has been verified in Section 8.
2. (retracts) This is Proposition 9.10.

3. (2 out of 3) This is Lemma 9.9.
4. (lifting) This is Proposition 9.6 together with Corollary 9.8 and Proposition 9.7.
5. (factorization) This is shown in Proposition 9.11.

In Definition 9.1 we have characterized fibrations by the lifting property. In the following we explicitly define a set of morphisms called good morphisms for the moment. Later in Proposition 9.6 it will turn out that these are exactly the fibrations.

We consider morphisms in a category $\mathcal{C}$ in the list (9.1).

Definition 9.4. *A morphism $a : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is called good, if for every object d of $\mathbf{D}$ and unitary $u : a(c) \rightarrow d$ for some object c of $\mathbf{C}$ there exists a unitary $v : c \rightarrow c'$ such that $a(v) = u$.*

Let Δ^0 in $\mathcal{C}$ be the object classifier (Definition 4.2) and $\mathbb{I}$ be the classifier of invertibles in $\mathbf{Cat}_1$ (Definition 4.12). It is easy to see that $\mathbf{1} \cong \Delta^0 \sharp \mathbb{I}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unitary morphism classifier (Definition 4.10). Let $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ classify the object 0.

Lemma 9.5. *A morphism is good if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to*

$$\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$$

Proof. In view of the universal properties of Δ^0 and $\mathbf{1}$ this is just a reformulation of Definition 9.4. $\square$

Proposition 9.6. *The good morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ have the right lifting property with respect to trivial cofibrations.*

Proof. We consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{B} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbf{D} \end{array} \quad (9.2)$$

where f is good and i is a trivial cofibration. We can find a morphism $j : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $j \circ i = \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$, $i \circ j \circ i = i$, and such that there is a unitary equivalence $u : i \circ j \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{B}}$ which in addition satisfies $u \circ i = \text{id}_{\text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}}$.

On objects we define ℓ as follows: If b is an object of B such that $b = i(a)$ for some object a of $\mathbf{A}$, then we set $\ell(b) := \alpha(a)$. This makes the upper triangle commute. If b is not in the image of i , then we get a unitary $\beta(u) : f(\alpha(j(b))) = \beta(i(j(b))) \rightarrow \beta(b)$. Using that f is good we choose a unitary $v : \alpha(j(b)) \rightarrow c$ such that $f(v) = \beta(u)$. We then set $\ell(b) := c$. This makes the lower triangle commute. ¹

We now define the lift ℓ on a morphism $\phi : b \rightarrow b'$. We distinguish four cases:

¹Note that the argument in [Del10, Lemma 4.10] contains a mistake at this point. With the definition given there the lower triangle would not commute on the level of objects

1. If b and b' are in the image of i , then (since i is an equivalence) there exists a morphism $\psi \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $i(\psi) = \phi$ and we set

$$\ell(\phi) := \alpha(\psi) .$$

This again makes the upper triangle commute.

2. If $b = i(a)$ and b' is not in the image of i , then we let v' and c' be the choices as above made for b' . In this case we set

$$\ell(\phi) = v' \circ \alpha(j(\phi)) .$$

3. Similarly, if $b' = i(a')$ and b is not in the image of i , then we set

$$\ell(\phi) := \alpha(j(\phi)) \circ v^{-1} .$$

4. Finally, if both b and b' do not belong to the image, then we set

$$\ell(\phi) := v' \circ \alpha(j(\phi)) \circ v^{-1} .$$

One can check that then the lower triangle commutes and that this really defines a functor. One further checks that ℓ is a morphism of $*$ -categories. Finally, if $\mathcal{C}$ is one of the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space enriched cases, then ℓ is functor between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories. $\square$

Proposition 9.7. *The cofibrations in $\mathcal{C}$ have the left-lifting property with respect to the good morphisms which are in addition weak equivalences.*

Proof. We again consider a diagram (9.2). Since the map i is injective on objects and the morphism f is surjective on objects we can find a lift ℓ on the level objects. Let now b, b' be objects in $\mathbf{B}$. Since f is fully faithful we have a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\ell(b), \ell(b')) \xrightarrow{f_* \cong} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\beta(b), \beta(b')) .$$

We can therefore define ℓ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(b, b')$ by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(b, b') \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\beta(b), \beta(b')) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\ell(b), \ell(b')) .$$

The lower triangle commutes by construction. One can furthermore check that the upper triangle commutes. Finally one checks that this really defines a functor. One furthermore checks that the functor ℓ thus defined is a morphism between $*$ -categories. If $\mathcal{C}$ is one of the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space enriched cases, then obviously ℓ is enriched, too. $\square$

Corollary 9.8. *The sets of good morphisms and the fibrations coincide.*

Lemma 9.9. *The weak equivalences in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy the two-out-of-three axiom.*

Proof. It is clear that the composition of weak equivalences is a weak equivalence. Assume that $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are morphisms such that f and $g \circ f$ are weak equivalences. Then we must show that g is a weak equivalence. Let $m : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ and $n : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ inverse functors and $u : m \circ f \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $v : f \circ m \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $x : n \circ g \circ f \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $y : g \circ f \circ n \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ the corresponding unitary equivalences. Then we consider the functor $h := f \circ n : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. We have unitary equivalences

$$h \circ g = f \circ n \circ g \xrightarrow{v^{-1}} f \circ n \circ g \circ f \circ m \xrightarrow{x} f \circ m \xrightarrow{v} \text{id}_{\mathbf{B}} .$$

and

$$g \circ h = g \circ f \circ n \xrightarrow{y^{-1}} g \circ f \circ n \circ g \circ f \circ n \xrightarrow{x} g \circ f \circ n \xrightarrow{y} \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} .$$

□

Proposition 9.10. *The cofibrations, fibrations and weak equivalences are closed under retracts.*

Proof. Since good maps are characterized by a right lifting property they are closed under retracts. Cofibrations are closed under retracts since a retract diagram of categories induces a retract diagram on the level of sets of objects, and injectivity of maps between sets is closed under retracts. Finally, weak equivalences are closed under retracts as shown in e.g. [Del10, Lemma 4.9]. □

Proposition 9.11. *In the category $\mathcal{C}$ we have functorial factorizations.*

Proof. We use a functorial cylinder object in order to factorize a morphism as

$$\text{trivial fibration} \circ \text{cofibration} .$$

In order to avoid a case-dependent notation we write $\sharp$ for the tensor structures with groupoids $\times$, $\otimes$, or $\otimes_{\max}$ in the respective cases.

For a morphism $a : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we define the cylinder object $Z(a)$ as the push-out

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{B} \\ \downarrow (1) & & \downarrow \beta \\ \mathbf{A} \sharp \mathbb{I} & \longrightarrow & Z(a) \\ \uparrow (0) & \nearrow j & \searrow q \\ \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{a \circ \text{pr}} & \mathbf{B} \end{array} ,$$

where pr is induced by the projection $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow *$. We get the morphism j as a composition of morphisms already defined and q from the universal property of the push-out.

We claim that j is a cofibration and q is a trivial fibration. In order to see these properties it is useful to calculate an explicit model for $Z(a)$.

We define $\tilde{Z}(a)$ as follows:

1. $\text{Ob}(Z(a)) := \text{Ob}(\mathbf{A}) \sqcup \text{Ob}(\mathbf{B})$.

$$2. \text{Hom}_{\tilde{Z}(a)}(x, y) := \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(x, y) & x, y \in \mathbf{A} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(a(x), y) & x \in \mathbf{A}, y \in \mathbf{B} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(x, a(y)) & x \in \mathbf{B}, y \in \mathbf{A} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(x, y) & x, y \in \mathbf{B} \end{cases}.$$

3. The composition is defined in the only possible way.

4. The $*$ -operation is induced by the $*$ -operations on $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in the canonical way.

5. The $\mathbb{C}$ enrichment of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ induces an enrichment of $\tilde{Z}(a)$.

We have defined $\tilde{Z}(a)$ as an object of ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ or ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ in the $\mathbb{C}$ -enriched cases. In the case of pre- C^* -categories, if we can identify $\tilde{Z}(a)$ with $Z(a)$ as a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then we can conclude that it is itself a pre- C^* -category or C^* -category. Here we use that the inclusion of pre- C^* -categories into $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories preserves colimits.

Furthermore we see by an inspection of the definition that $\tilde{Z}(a)$ is a C^* -category if $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ were C^* -categories.

We have a canonical morphism $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(a)$. Furthermore we have a morphism $\mathbf{A} \sharp \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(a)$ given by

1. $(x, 0) \mapsto x$
2. $(x, 1) \mapsto a(x)$

for x an object of $\mathbf{A}$, and which is fixed on morphisms by

1. $((f, \text{id}_0) : (x, 0) \rightarrow (y, 0)) \mapsto f$
2. $(\text{id}_x, (0 \rightarrow 1)) \mapsto \text{id}_x$

and the compatibility with the $*$ -operation. With these definitions the square in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{B} \\ \downarrow (1) & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{A} \sharp \mathbb{I} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{Z}(a) \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \psi \\ & & \mathbf{D} \end{array}$$

commutes. Let now ϕ and ψ be given as indicated. Then we define a morphism $\tilde{Z}(a) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ on objects by

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi(x, 0) & x \in \mathbf{A} \\ \psi(y) & y \in \mathbf{B} \end{cases},$$

and on morphisms by

$$f \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi(f, \text{id}_0) & x, y \in \mathbf{A} \\ \psi(f) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In fact this morphism is uniquely determined by the commutativity of the diagram. This implies that $\tilde{Z}(a)$ is an explicit model for the push-out and hence a model for $Z(a)$.

From now on we assume that $Z(a) = \tilde{Z}(a)$. In this model the morphism $q : Z(a) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad q(x) &= \begin{cases} a(x) & x \in \mathbf{A} \\ x & x \in \mathbf{B} \end{cases} \\ 2. \quad q(f : x \rightarrow y) &= \begin{cases} a(f) & x, y \in \mathbf{A} \\ f & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is surjective on objects. In order to see that q is a weak equivalence we define an inverse $p : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow Z(a)$ by

$$p(x) := x, \quad p(f) := f.$$

where both take values in the $\mathbf{B}$ -component. Then $q \circ p = \text{id}_{\mathbf{B}}$. Furthermore, a unitary $u : p \circ q \rightarrow \text{id}_{Z(a)}$ is given by $u_x = \text{id}_x$ for $x \in \mathbf{B}$ and $\text{id}_{a(x)}$ for $x \in \mathbf{A}$. It follows that q is good and a weak equivalence, hence a trivial fibration.

The morphism $j : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow Z(a)$ is the canonical embedding and clearly a cofibration. We therefore have constructed a functorial factorization

$$(a : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}) \mapsto (\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{j} Z(a) \xrightarrow{q} \mathbf{B}).$$

We will use a functorial path object to obtain a functorial factorization

$$\text{fibration} \circ \text{trivial cofibration}.$$

For a morphism $a : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ we define $P(a)$ as the pull-back

The morphism j is a cofibration since it is injective on objects because of $\alpha \circ j = \text{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

We can describe $P(a)$ explicitly as the subcategory of $\mathcal{F}un^u(\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{A}$ determined on objects (ϕ, x) by the condition $\phi(1) = a(x)$ and on morphisms by (u, f) by $u(1) = a(f)$. In this picture j is given by

$$j(x) := (\text{const}(a(x)), x), \quad j(f) = (\text{const}(a(f)), f).$$

We find a unitary equivalence $j \circ \alpha \rightarrow \text{id}_{P(a)}$ by

$$u(\phi, x) := ((0 \mapsto \phi(0 \rightarrow 1), 1 \mapsto \text{id}_{a(x)}), \text{id}_x) : (\phi, x) \rightarrow (\text{const}(a(x)), x) .$$

This shows that j is a weak equivalence. Hence j is a trivial cofibration.

It remains to show that p is a fibration. It suffices to show that p is good. Let a unitary $u : p(\phi, x) \rightarrow b$ be given. Then we take

$$c := ((0 \mapsto b, 1 \mapsto a(x); (0 \rightarrow 1) \mapsto u^{-1}), x)$$

and define $v : (\phi, x) \rightarrow c$ by $((0 \mapsto u, 1 \mapsto \text{id}_{a(x)}), \text{id}_x)$. This shows that p is good. $\square$

10 The simplicial axiom

We assume that the category $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list (9.1). In this section we verify that the model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ described in Definition 9.1 satisfies the simplicial axiom. We closely follow the argument given in [Del10] for C^* -categories.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $a : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ and $i : X \rightarrow Y$ be a cofibration in $\mathbf{sSet}$. Then*

$$(\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(Y)) \sqcup_{\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(X)} (\mathbf{B} \sharp \Pi(X)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B} \sharp \Pi(Y)) \quad (10.1)$$

is a cofibration. Moreover, if i or a are in addition weak equivalences, then (10.1) is a weak equivalence.

Proof.

Lemma 10.2. *For $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the functor*

$$\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(-) : \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

preserves (trivial) cofibrations.

Proof. If $i : X \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration, then $\Pi(i)$ is injective on objects. This implies that $\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(i)$ is injective on objects.

Assume now that i is in addition weak equivalence. Then $\Pi(i)$ is an equivalence of groupoids. Let $j : \Pi(Y) \rightarrow \Pi(X)$ be an inverse equivalence and $u : j \circ \Pi(i) \rightarrow \text{id}_{\Pi(X)}$ and $v : \Pi(i) \circ j \rightarrow \text{id}_{\Pi(Y)}$ be the corresponding isomorphisms. Then we get a unitary equivalence

$$\mathbf{A} \sharp u : (\mathbf{A} \sharp j) \circ (\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(i)) \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(X)}$$

by $(\mathbf{A} \sharp u)_{(a,x)} := (\text{id}_a, u_x)$. Similarly, we have a unitary equivalence

$$\mathbf{A} \sharp v : (\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(i)) \circ (\mathbf{A} \sharp j) \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{A} \sharp \Pi(Y)}$$

given by $(\mathbf{A} \sharp v)_{(a,x)} := (\text{id}_a, v_x)$. $\square$

Lemma 10.3. *For a groupoid $\mathbf{G}$ the functor*

$$-\sharp \mathbf{G} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

preserves (trivial) cofibrations.

Proof. If $a : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is a cofibration, then it is injective on objects. But then $a\sharp \mathbf{G}$ is injective on objects and hence a cofibration. If a is in addition an unitary equivalence, then $a\sharp \mathbf{G}$ is an unitary equivalence, too. The argument is similar to the corresponding part of the argument in the proof of Lemma 10.2. $\square$

The set objects of the push-out one left-hand side of (10.1) is equal to the push-out of the object sets. We write objects in $\mathbf{A}\sharp \Pi(X)$ as pairs (α, x) .

Assume that the classes of (α, y) and (β, x) in the push-out go to the same object which is then (β, y) . Then $a(\alpha) = \beta$ and $i(x) = y$. This means that $(\alpha, y) = (\text{id}, i)(\alpha, x)$ and $(\beta, x) = (a, \text{id})(\alpha, x)$. Consequently, the classes of (α, y) and (β, x) coincide. $\square$

Assume now that the classes of (α, y) and (α', y') go to the same object which is necessarily $(a(\alpha), y)$. Then $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $y = y'$. This shows that the morphism marked by $?$ is injective on objects and hence a cofibration.

Similarly, if the classes of (β, x) and (β', x') go to the same object which is necessarily $(\beta, i(x))$, then $\beta = \beta'$ and $x = x'$.

We now consider the extended diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{A}\sharp \Pi(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{A}\sharp \Pi(Y) \\
 \downarrow c & \nearrow d & \downarrow e \\
 & (\mathbf{A}\sharp \Pi(Y)) \sqcup_{\mathbf{A}\sharp \Pi(X)} (\mathbf{B}\sharp \Pi(X)) & \\
 \mathbf{B}\sharp \Pi(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}\sharp \Pi(Y) \\
 & \nwarrow ? &
 \end{array}$$

Assume that a is a weak equivalence. By Lemma 10.3 the map c is a trivial cofibration. As a push-out of a trivial cofibration in a model category the morphism d is again a trivial cofibration. By Lemma 10.3 the morphism e is a trivial cofibration. It follows from Lemma 9.9 that the morphism $?$ is a weak equivalence.

The case that i is a weak equivalence is similar using Lemma 10.2 for the horizontal arrows. $\square$

11 Cofibrant generation and local presentability

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a member of the list (9.1). In this section we show that the model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ described in Definition 9.1 is cofibrantly generated. We repeat the arguments given in [Del10, Sec. 4.1].

Recall from Section 4 that Δ^0 and $\mathbf{1}$ denote the object and unitary classifier objects. The morphism $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ classifying the object 0 is a trivial cofibration since it is clearly injective on objects and an unitary equivalence. So by Lemma 9.5 and Corollary 9.8 we can take

$$J := \{\Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}\}$$

as the generating trivial cofibrations. We furthermore define

$$I := \{U, V, W\}$$

where U, V, W are cofibrations defined as follows:

1. $U : \emptyset \rightarrow \Delta^0$.
2. We let $V : \Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ classify the pair of objects $(0, 1)$ of $\mathbf{1}$.
3. We define P as the push-out

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^0 \sqcup \Delta^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{1} & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

and let $W : P \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ be the obvious map induced by $\text{id}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Lemma 11.1. *The trivial fibrations in $\mathcal{C}$ are exactly the morphisms which have the right-lifting property with respect to I .*

Proof. The right lifting property with respect to I translates to surjectivity on objects and to bijection between the sets of unitaries in the morphism sets. $\square$

Lemma 11.2. *The objects $\emptyset$ and Δ^0 are finite. The objects $\mathbf{1}$ and P are finite except in $C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ where they are κ_1 -small.*

Proof. The point in case of $C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is that filtered colimits in C^* -categories by (8.4) involve sequential completions. $\square$

Corollary 11.3. *The model category $\mathcal{C}$ is cofibrantly generated by finite sets of generating cofibrations and trivial cofibrations between small objects.*

We now show that three of our four examples are combinatorial. We first discuss the case of $*$ -categories and $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories. The argument in the case of C^* -categories is different and will be given in a separate proposition below. In view of Corollary 11.3 it suffices to verify local presentability.

Proposition 11.4. *If $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}\}$, then the category $\mathcal{C}$ is locally presentable.*

Proof. The category $*\mathbf{Quivers}$ of quivers with involution is locally presentable. Indeed, the category is generated by the objects in the list

$$\{*, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{I})\}$$

of small quivers with involution, where $\mathcal{F}$ takes the underlying quiver of the groupoid $\mathbb{I}$. Given a $*$ -category $\mathbf{A}$ we can consider the free $*$ -category $\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))$ generated by the underlying quiver of $\mathbf{A}$. The unit of the adjunction (8.3) provides a canonical morphism

$$\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

of $*$ -categories. We claim that canonical coequalizer map

$$\text{Coeq}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \rightrightarrows \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

is an isomorphism. On the level of objects this is clear. On the level of morphisms we observe that the corresponding equivalence relation on the morphisms of $\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))$ is compatible with the composition.

We know that $\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))$ is isomorphic to a colimit of a small diagram involving the generators

$$\{\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{I})), *\}.$$

The fibre product over $\mathbf{A}$ is not a colimit. But we have a surjection

$$\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))) \rightarrow \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))$$

and therefore an isomorphism

$$\text{Coeq}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))) \rightrightarrows \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}.$$

The $*$ -category $\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))))$ is again a colimit of a diagram involving the generators in the list above. Hence $\mathbf{A}$ itself is a the colimit of a diagram built from the list.

It follows that $*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is generated by the list of κ_0 -small objects

$$\{\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{I})), *\}.$$

As similar argument applies in the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear case. Here we show that

$$\text{Coeq}(\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \rightrightarrows \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

is an isomorphism and use the surjection

$$\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})))))) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))) \times_{\mathbf{A}} \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}))).$$

It follows that $*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ is generated by the list of κ_1 -small objects

$$\{\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{I}))), \text{Lin}(*)\}. \quad (11.1)$$

□

Remark 11.5. At the moment we do not have an argument that $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ is locally presentable.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a pre- C^* -category. Then by the above there exists a functor $S : I \rightarrow {}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$ such that $S(i)$ belongs to the list (11.1) for all $i \in I$ together with a transformation $u : S \rightarrow \text{const}(\iota(\mathbf{A}))$ (ι takes the underlying $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category) such that its adjoint is an isomorphism $\text{colim}_I S \cong \iota(\mathbf{A})$. Then

$$\text{colim}_I \text{Bd}^\infty(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

is a candidate for a presentation of $\mathbf{A}$. □

Proposition 11.6. *The category $C^* \mathbf{Cat}$ is locally presentable.*

Proof. There is a set of finite $*$ -quivers. For any $*$ -quiver Q we can consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$. We have a set of C^* -norms $N(Q)$ on $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$. For every norm $\| - \|$ in $N(Q)$ we form the C^* -category $\mathbf{A}(Q, \| - \|)$ by taking the closure.

We claim that the set of C^* -algebras $\mathbf{A}(Q, \| - \|)$ as described above for all finite $*$ -quivers Q and norms $\| - \|$ in $N(Q)$ generates $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ under filtered colimits.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a C^* -category. Any finite $*$ -invariant collection F of morphisms of $\mathbf{A}$ defines a $*$ -quiver $Q(F)$ by forgetting the composition. By the universal property of the $\text{Lin} \circ \text{Free}$ -functor we have a canonical morphism $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q(F))) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ which induces a norm $\| - \|_F$ in $N(Q(F))$. Then $\mathbf{A}(F) := \mathbf{A}(Q(F), \| - \|_F)$ is naturally isomorphic to a sub C^* -category of $\mathbf{A}$. The set of finite $*$ -invariant subsets of morphisms of $\mathbf{A}$ is partially ordered by inclusion and filtered. If F is contained in a larger subset F' , then we clearly get a monomorphism $\mathbf{A}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(F')$ of C^* -categories. We claim that $\mathbf{A} \cong \text{colim}_F \mathbf{A}(F)$. To this end we verify the universal property of the colimit. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a C^* -category. We must produce a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \cong \lim_F \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}(F), \mathbf{B}) .$$

This bijection identifies a morphism Φ in $\text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ with the morphism Ψ in $\lim_F \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}(F), \mathbf{B})$. Given Φ we can find the system $\Psi = (\Psi_F)_F$ by $\Psi_F := \Phi|_{\mathbf{A}(F)}$. Vice versa, given $\Psi = (\Psi_F)_F$, then we define Φ as follows. Let a be an object of $\mathbf{A}$. Then we define $\Phi(a) := \Psi_{\{\text{id}_a\}}(a)$. For a morphism $(f : a \rightarrow a')$ in $\mathbf{A}$ we consider any F such that $f \in F$. Then we define $\Phi(f) := \Psi_F(f)$. Note that $\Psi_F(f)$ is really a morphism from $\Phi(a)$ to $\Phi(a')$. Furthermore one checks that this definition is independent of the choice of F . Therefore we get maps Φ on the level of objects and morphisms. We now show that Φ is a morphism between $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories. We discuss the compatibility with composition. Let f and g be composable morphisms. Then we choose F such that $f, g, g \circ f \in F$ and use that Ψ_F is a morphism of C^* -categories.

We now claim that the C^* -categories $\mathbf{A}(Q, \| - \|)$ for finite quivers and $\| - \| \in N(Q)$ are κ_1 -small. Let $(\mathbf{B}_i)_{i \in I}$ be any κ_1 -filtered system of C^* -categories and consider the natural map

$$\text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}(Q, \| - \|), \mathbf{B}(i)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}(Q, \| - \|), \text{colim}_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}(i)) .$$

We must show that it is a bijection. Let Ψ belong to $\text{Hom}_{C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1}(\mathbf{A}(Q, \|\cdot\|), \text{colim}_{i \in I} B(i))$. Then there exists an index i in I such that $\Psi(Q) \subseteq B(i)$. We get morphisms $\rho_j : \text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q)) \rightarrow B(j)$ for all j in I with $i \leq j$. These morphisms induce a decreasing family of norms $(\|\cdot\|_j)_{j \in I, i \leq j}$ on $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$ given by $\|a\|_j := \|\rho_j(a)\|_{B(j)}$. If we can show that $\|\cdot\|_j \leq \|\cdot\|$ for some j in I with $i \leq j$, then we get the desired factorization $A(Q, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow B(j)$ of Ψ .

We consider the countable subset $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Free}(Q))$. A norm on $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$ is determined by its restriction to $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Free}(Q))$. Hence the size of the set of norms on $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$ is bounded by κ_1 .

For every j in I we choose (if we can) $n(j)$ in I to be some element k in I such that $j < k$ and $\|\cdot\|_k < \|\cdot\|_j$. By transfinite induction we define a map $\kappa \rightarrow I$ from an ordinal κ with $\kappa \leq \kappa_1$. Since I is κ_1 -filtered, there exists $j \in I$ such that $\|\cdot\|_j = \|\cdot\|_\ell$ for all ℓ in I with $j \leq \ell$. Since for every morphism a in $\text{Lin}(\text{Free}(Q))$ we have the inequality

$$\lim_{i \leq k} \|\rho_k(a)\|_{B(k)} = \|\Psi(a)\|_{\text{colim}_{i \in I} B(i)} \leq \|a\|$$

we have $\|\cdot\|_j \leq \|\cdot\|$. □

Corollary 11.7. *The model category structures on the categories $\mathcal{C}$ in the list*

$$\{^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, ^* \mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\}$$

are combinatorial.

12 G -fixed points

Let G be a group. The category G -objects in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is defined as the functor category $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$.

We now assume that the category $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list (9.1). We consider a G -object $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 12.1. *We define the object $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G$ in $\mathcal{C}$ by*

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G := \begin{cases} \lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) & \mathcal{C} \neq C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \\ \text{Bd}^\infty \lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) & \mathcal{C} = C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \end{cases}. \quad (12.1)$$

Remark 12.2. This object $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is our candidate (justified later in Theorem 13.5) for the G -fixed points of $\ell(\mathbf{A})$, i.e., we expect that we have an equivalence $\ell(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G) \simeq \lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A})$, where ℓ is the localization introduced in Convention 5.9. □

Remark 12.3. In this Remark we derive an explicit description of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G$. We write

$$\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) := \lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}).$$

An object a of $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ associates to every object g of the G -groupoid $\tilde{G}$ an object $a(g) \in \mathbf{A}$. Furthermore, for every pair of objects g, h in $\tilde{G}$ we have a unitary morphism $a(g \rightarrow h) : a(g) \rightarrow a(h)$ in $\mathbf{A}$. The condition that a is G -invariant means that for every group element k in G we have the equalities $a(kg) = k(a(g))$ and $a(kg \rightarrow kh) = k(a(g \rightarrow h))$. Therefore, the object a of $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is completely determined by the object $a(1)$ of $\mathbf{A}$ and a collection of unitaries $\rho(g) = a(1 \rightarrow g) : a(1) \rightarrow g(a(1))$ which satisfy the cycle condition

$$g(\rho(h)) \circ \rho(g) = \rho(hg)$$

for all elements g, h of G .

A morphism $a \rightarrow a'$ between such objects is determined by its restriction to $a(1)$ which necessarily intertwines the cocycles, i.e. which satisfies

$$\rho(g) \circ f = g(f) \circ \rho'(g)$$

for all elements g of G . Consequently, the category $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is isomorphic to the category of pairs $(a, (\rho(g))_{g \in G})$ of an object a of $\mathbf{A}$ and a cocycle, and intertwiners.

The $*$ -operation on the category $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is induced by the $*$ -operation on $\mathbf{A}$. If $\mathbf{A}$ was a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then so is $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$. This finishes the description of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G = \mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ in the cases ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ and ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$.

In the case of pre- C^* -categories $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ we do not know apriori that the equivariant functors form again a pre- C^* -category. Therefore we apply the functor Bd^∞ to $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$.

Finally, in the case of C^* -categories $C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ the category $\mathcal{F}un_G^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is again a C^* -category. Indeed, the space of morphisms from the object $(a, (\rho(g))_{g \in G})$ to the object $(a', (\rho'(g))_{g \in G})$ is a closed subset of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(a, a')$, and the C^* -property is induced. Here we use the description of C^* -categories given in Remark 2.15. $\square$

13 Homotopy G -fixed points

We first describe the injective model structure on the category of G -objects in $\mathcal{C}$.

We have an evaluation $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which sends a G -object or a morphism between G -objects to the underlying object or morphism.

The following definition describes the cofibrations, fibrations and weak equivalences of the injective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ provided it exists.

Definition 13.1.

1. A weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ is a morphism whose underlying morphism is an unitary equivalence.
2. A cofibration is a morphism in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ whose underlying morphism is a cofibration.

3. A fibration is a morphism in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ which has the right-lifting property with respect to trivial cofibrations.

In general (see e.g. [Lur09, Prop. A.2.8.2]) it is known that the injective model category structure on a functor category with target $\mathcal{C}$ exists, if the model category structure on $\mathcal{C}$ is combinatorial. So in view of the second assertion of Theorem 9.2 the construction directly applies to $\mathcal{C} \in \{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathcal{C}\text{-}lin}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$.

Remark 13.2. Presentability is a strong assumption on a model category. Cisinski has shown² that the injective model category structure on a functor category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{I}, \mathcal{C})$ exist under the following conditions on a model category structure (W, Cof, Fib) on a category $\mathcal{C}$:

1. $\mathcal{C}$ has small limits.
2. $\mathcal{C}$ is right proper, and
3. in $\mathcal{C}$ the class of cofibrations is closed under small limits.

The proof is exactly same as the one of [Cis03, Thm. 6.16].

The category $C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is complete and hence has small limits. Furthermore, every pre- C^* -category is fibrant so that $C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is right-proper. Finally, a limit of a diagram of injective maps of sets is injective and the action of a limit of a diagram functors on objects is the limit of the diagram of maps induced on objects. Therefore a limit of cofibrations in $C_{pre}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ is again a cofibration. $\square$

We have a functor $\tilde{G} \rightarrow *$ in G -categories. It induces a transformation of functors

$$\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) . \quad (13.1)$$

Proposition 13.3. *The functor*

$$\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, -) : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$$

together with the natural transformation (13.1) is a fibrant replacement functor with respect to the injective model structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. We must first show that for every object $\mathbf{A}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ the transformation (13.1) induces a weak equivalence

$$r : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$$

in $\mathcal{C}$. To this end we must find an inverse up to unitary equivalence of r on the level of underlying objects in $\mathcal{C}$. We define a (non G -equivariant) functor

$$e : \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A} , \quad a \mapsto a(1) , \quad e(f : a \rightarrow a') := f(1) : a(1) \rightarrow a'(1) .$$

Then clearly $e \circ r = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}}$. We furthermore have a unitary equivalence $r \circ e \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})}$ given on $a \in \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ by the collection $(a(1 \rightarrow g) : a(1) \rightarrow a(g))_{g \in G}$.

²I thank D. Ch. Cisinski for explaining this fact to me.

In order to finish the proof we must show that $\mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$ is fibrant. To this end we consider a square in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \\ \downarrow c & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D} & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$. We must show the existence of the diagonal lift.

In order to avoid a case-dependent notation we write $\sharp$ for the tensor structures with groupoids $\times$, $\otimes$, or $\otimes_{max}$ in the respective cases.

We use the identification $\mathcal{F}un^u(BG, *) \simeq *$ and the exponential law Proposition 7.4 in order to rewrite the problem as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} \sharp \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{A} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{D} \sharp \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & * \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \tilde{d} \\ \searrow \end{array}$$

Since the underlying morphism of $c : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a cofibration it is injective on objects. We choose an inverse equivalence $d : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ (not necessarily G -invariant) up to unitary equivalence which is a precise inverse on the image of c . We can extend the composition

$$\mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \sharp \tilde{G}$$

uniquely to a G -invariant morphism

$$\tilde{d} : \mathbf{D} \sharp \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \sharp \tilde{G} .$$

Indeed, we set

$$\tilde{d}(D, g) := (g(d(D)), g) , \quad \tilde{d}(f : D \rightarrow D', g \rightarrow h) := hg^{-1}\tilde{d}(f)\sharp(h \rightarrow g) .$$

The desired diagonal can now be obtained as composition $\phi \circ \tilde{d}$. □

Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, W)$ is a relative category and

$$\ell : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty := \mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$$

is the localization in the realm of ∞ -categories.

Proposition 13.4. *Assume that $(\mathcal{C}, W)$ extends to a simplicial combinatorial model category. Then for any fibrant replacement functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow R$ in the injective model category structure of $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ we have an equivalence of functors*

$$\lim_{BG} \circ \ell \simeq \ell \circ \lim_{BG} \circ R : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty .$$

Proof. We let W_G denote the weak equivalences in the injective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. The universal property of

$$\ell : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})[W_G^{-1}]$$

provides a factorization of $\ell : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}_\infty)$ through a canonical functor

$$\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})[W_G^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}_\infty) . \quad (13.2)$$

By [Lur09, Section 4.2.4] it is an equivalence. Moreover, if R denotes a fibrant replacement functor for the injective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$, then we have an equivalence

$$\ell \circ \lim_{BG} \circ R \simeq \lim_{BG} \circ \ell . \quad (13.3)$$

□

Theorem 13.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a member of the list $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ be an object of $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. Then we have an equivalence*

$$\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \ell(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G) .$$

Proof. If we assume that $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list

$$\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C^* \mathbf{Cat}_1\} ,$$

then we can apply Propositions 13.3 and 13.4 and Equation 12.1.

In the case of $\mathcal{C} = C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ we can use Remark 13.2. □

Remark 13.6. In this Remark we give an alternative argument for Theorem 13.5 in the case $\mathcal{C} = C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ which does not depend on Remark 13.2. We use that Theorem 13.5 holds true in the case that $\mathcal{C} = *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$.

In the following calculation

$$\iota : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow *\mathbf{Cat}^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}} , \quad \iota : C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1 \rightarrow *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$$

denote the embeddings on the level of one- and of ∞ -categories. Because of the adjunction (5.3) whose left-adjoint is an inclusion of a full subcategory the ∞ -category $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}$ is complete and the limit of an I -diagram in $C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}$ can be calculated by the formula

$$\lim_I \simeq \mathrm{Bd}^\infty \circ \lim_I \circ \iota . \quad (13.4)$$

In the case of a G -object $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{C} = C_{pre}^* \mathbf{Cat}_1$ we have the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A}) &\stackrel{(13.4)}{\simeq} \mathrm{Bd}^\infty(\lim_{BG} \iota(\ell(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Bd}^\infty(\lim_{BG} \ell(\iota(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\stackrel{Prop. 13.4}{\simeq} \mathrm{Bd}^\infty(\ell(\lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \iota \mathbf{A}))) \\ &\simeq \ell(\mathrm{Bd}^\infty(\lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \iota \mathbf{A}))) \\ &\simeq \ell(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G) . \end{aligned}$$

In the middle step we use Proposition 13.4 for $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories. $\square$

Remark 13.7. Here is a proof of Theorem 13.5 for the case $\mathcal{C} = C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ which does not depend on the verification of the combinatoriality of $C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$.

Since the right-adjoint of the adjunction (5.2) is an inclusion of a full subcategory, if $\mathbf{A}$ is an I -diagram in $C^*\mathbf{Cat}$ and B is an object of $C^*\mathbf{Cat}$ such that $\lim_i \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \kappa(\mathbf{B})$, then $\lim_I \mathbf{A} \simeq \mathbf{B}$. For a G - C^* -category $\mathbf{A}$ we know that

$$\mathrm{Bd}^\infty \lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A}) \cong \lim_{BG} \mathcal{F}un^u(\tilde{G}, \mathbf{A})$$

is actually a C^* -category as explained in Section 12. Therefore

$$\lim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \ell(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^G)$$

in this case, too. $\square$

14 X -controlled objects and G -actions

This section is an illustration. We show that the categories appearing in an ad-hoc definition of equivariant versions of a decomposed coarse homology theory $E = K \circ \ell \circ \mathbf{V}$ represent the homotopy fixed points considered in the present paper. The precise statement will be given in Example 14.10 after the introduction of the necessary notation.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ be $*$ -category enriched in commutative monoids and $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}$ be the inclusion of a full-subcategory such that the image is closed under isomorphisms and finite sums. We will assume that the monoid structure on the morphism sets is preserved by the involution $*$. Note that $\mathbf{C}$ is a $*$ -subcategory.

Example 14.1. Typical examples which we have in mind are:

1. $\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}^{fin} \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{Set}}$ - the full subcategory of finite sets in the category of all sets and correspondences. For $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathbf{Set}}$ the set of morphisms from X to Y in $\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}$ is therefore by definition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}}(X, Y) := \mathcal{P}(Y, X) .$$

If $U \in \mathcal{P}(Y, X)$ and $V \in \mathcal{P}(Z, Y)$ are two composable morphisms, then their composition is defined by

$$V \circ U = \{(z, x) \in Z \times X \mid (\exists y \in Y \mid (z, y) \in V \& (y, x) \in U)\} .$$

The monoid structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}}(X, Y)$ is given by the union of subsets of $\mathcal{P}(Y, X)$. The empty set is the zero element of the monoid. Finally, $*$ -functor sends a correspondence U to U^{-1} . The point of using correspondences and not just maps is that given a set X we have for every subset Y a selfadjoint projection $\phi(Y) := \mathrm{diag}_X \cap (Y \times Y)$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}}(X)$ with image Y .

2. $\mathrm{Lin}(\tilde{\mathbf{Set}}^{fin}) \subseteq \mathrm{Lin}(\tilde{\mathbf{Set}})$ - are $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories.

3. $\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg} \subseteq \mathbf{Hilb}_A$ -Hilbert A -modules over a C^* -algebra A , and bounded adjointable operators and its subcategory of Hilbert modules with compact identity. These are C^* -categories.

□

Let $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ be given and M be an object of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. By $\text{Idem}(\text{End}(M))$ we denote the selfadjoint idempotents in $\text{End}(M)$ which have an image.

Let X be a set and M be an object of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$.

Definition 14.2. A projection-valued measure on X with values in $\text{Idem}(\text{End}(M))$ is a map

$$\phi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \text{Idem}(\text{End}(M))$$

such that

1. $\phi(X) = \text{id}_M$
2. $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$
3. For all subsets Y, Z of X we have $\phi(Y) \circ \phi(Z) = \phi(Y \cap Z)$.
4. For all subsets Y, Z of X we have $\phi(Y \cup Z) + \phi(Y \cap Z) = \phi(Y) + \phi(Z)$.

Recall from [BE16] that a bornological coarse space is a set X equipped with a bornology $\mathcal{B}$ and a coarse structure $\mathcal{C}$. Here $\mathcal{B}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are called bounded subsets. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ and the elements of $\mathcal{C}$ are called entourages. We have a category **BornCoarse** of bornological coarse spaces and morphisms which are proper and controlled maps.

Let X be a bornological coarse space.

Definition 14.3. An X -controlled object in $(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})$ is a pair (M, ϕ) of an object M of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ and a projection valued measure $\phi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \text{Idem}(\text{End}(M))$ such that $\phi(B)(M)$ belongs to $\mathbf{C}$ for all bounded subsets B of X .

Remark 14.4. Sometimes one adds further conditions, e.g., that the natural morphism $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \phi(\{x\})(M) \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism. But this is not relevant for our present purpose. □

Let U be an entourage of X and (B, B') be a pair of subsets of X . We call this pair U -separated if $U[B] \cap B' = \emptyset$.

We consider two X -controlled objects (M, ϕ) and (M', ϕ') in $(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})$.

Definition 14.5. A morphism $A : (M, \phi) \rightarrow (M', \phi')$ is a morphism $A : M \rightarrow M'$ in $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ such that there exists an entourage U of X such that for every U -separated pair (B, B') of subsets of X we have $\phi'(B') \circ A \circ \phi(B) = 0$. We say that A is U -controlled.

If $A : (M, \phi) \rightarrow (M', \phi')$ is a morphism of X -controlled objects, then so is $A^* : (M', \phi') \rightarrow (M, \phi)$. Indeed, if A is U -controlled, then A^* is U^{-1} -controlled.

Definition 14.6. We let $\mathbf{V}_{(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})}(X)$ denote the $*$ -category of X -controlled objects in $(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})$ and morphisms. If $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category, then so is $\mathbf{V}_{(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})}(X)$.

Usually we will simplify the notation and just write $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ for $\mathbf{V}_{(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{C})}(X)$. In the present paper we will not discuss the functoriality in $\mathbf{C}$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism between bornological coarse spaces. We get a morphism

$$f_* : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X') , \quad f_*(M, \phi) := (M, \phi \circ f^{-1}) , \quad f_*(A) := A .$$

We therefore get a functor

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$$

or a functor

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}$$

in the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category case.

Remark 14.7. Assume now that $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ is a C^* -category. As the example of Roe categories discussed in [BE16, Def. 7.47] shows we can not expect that $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ is again a C^* -category. The controlled propagation condition on the morphisms $(M, \phi) \rightarrow (M', \phi')$ described in Definition 14.5 determines a subspace of $\mathbf{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbf{C}}}(M, M')$ which in general is not closed.

But it is a natural question whether for a pre- C^* -category $\mathbf{C}$ we can expect that $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ is a pre- C^* -category. It is definitely a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category. $\square$

Example 14.8. Let A be a C^* -algebra and consider the C^* -category $\mathbf{Hilb}_A$ of Hilbert- A -modules and bounded adjointable operators together with its subcategory $\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}$ of finitely generated modules.

In the following we extend the definition of Roe categories [BE16, Def. 7.47] (the case $A = \mathbb{C}$) to general A .

Let X be a bornological coarse space. Then $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$ is clearly a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -category. We do not know whether it is a pre- C^* -category. If (M, ϕ) and (M', ϕ') are objects of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$, then

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)}((M, \phi), (M', \phi')) \subseteq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(M, M') . \quad (14.1)$$

We define the category $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$ such that it has the same objects as $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$ and its morphism spaces are the closures of these subspaces in (14.1). This category inherits a $*$ -operation. It is easy to see that $\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$ is a C^* -category and that we have a morphism

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_A^{fg}}(X)$$

of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories.

We have thus described a functor

$$\bar{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1 .$$

$\square$

We now assume that $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list (9.1). We furthermore assume that we have a functor

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

which associates to a bornological coarse space a category of X -controlled $\mathbf{C}$ -objects (M, ϕ) such that a morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ of bornological coarse spaces induces the morphism $f_* : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X') \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ given by $f_*(M, \phi) = (M, \phi \circ f^{-1})$.

Let now G be a group and X be a bornological coarse space with a G -action. We thus have $X \in \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{BornCoarse})$ and therefore, by functoriality, $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X) \in \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. We now specialize Theorem 13.5:

Corollary 14.9. *We have an equivalence*

$$\varinjlim_{BG} \ell(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)) \simeq \ell(\widehat{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)}^G).$$

Example 14.10. If $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathcal{C}\text{-lin}}, C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$, then using Remark 12.3 we can describe the object $\widehat{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)}^G$ of $\mathcal{C}$ explicitly as follows.

An object of $\widehat{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)}^G$ is a triple (M, ϕ, ρ) , where (M, ϕ) is an object of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ and ρ is a unitary representation of G on M considered as an object of $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ such that

$$\rho(g) \circ \phi(gY) \circ \rho(g^{-1}) = \phi(Y)$$

for all elements g of G and subsets Y of X . Morphisms are just g -equivariant morphisms in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$.

This is exactly the description of the category $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}^G(X)$ which would be taken in the ad-hoc definition of the G -equivariant version of coarse homology theory $E = K \circ \ell \circ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{C}}$ (see [BFJR04], [BEK⁺a, Sec. 8.2], [BC, Sec. 3.2]).

15 G -orbits

For a group G we consider the orbit category $\mathbf{Orb}(G)$. Its objects are the transitive G -sets, and its morphisms are equivariant maps. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a stable ∞ -category, e.g., the category of spectra. A Bredon-type G -equivariant $\mathbf{S}$ -valued homology theory is determined by a functor E in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G), \mathbf{S})$ (see e.g. [DL98]). In this section we provide a categorical interpretation of various versions of G -equivariant spectrum-valued K -homology theories.

We can consider the underlying set of G as a transitive G -set with respect to the right action. Then we have an isomorphism of groups $\mathbf{Aut}_{\mathbf{Orb}(G)}(G) \cong G$, where G acts by left multiplications. We therefore have a functor $j : BG \rightarrow \mathbf{Orb}(G)$ which sends the unique object of BG to the transitive G -set G , and which identifies the group $\mathbf{Aut}_{BG}(*)$ (given by G) with the group $\mathbf{Aut}_{\mathbf{Orb}(G)}(G)$ as described above.

If $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is a presentable ∞ -category, then we get an adjunction

$$j_! : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}_\infty) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G), \mathcal{C}_\infty) : j^* , \quad (15.1)$$

where j^* is the restriction functor along j .

We now assume that $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$. Then the corresponding ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is presentable by Corollary 1.8 so that (15.1) applies.

We assume that $K : \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is an appropriate K -theory functor. For our present purpose we just need a functor without further properties.

Remark 15.1. In the application to coarse homology theories one usually requires further exactness properties or requires that K commutes with filtered colimits, see [BEK⁺a],[BC],[BEK⁺b]. $\square$

Example 15.2. In the case of $\mathbb{C}$ -linear $*$ -categories we can take

$$K := K^{alg} \circ (-)_\oplus \circ \mathcal{F} ,$$

where K^{alg} is the $\mathbf{Sp}$ -valued algebraic K -theory functor defined on additive categories, $(-)_\oplus$ is the additive completion sending a pre-additive category to its additive completion, and $\mathcal{F}$ forgets the involution and the enrichment.

Instead of K^{alg} one could take the universal functor $\mathbf{UK}$ with values in the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}_{loc}$, see [BC].

In the case of C^* -categories we can take

$$K := K_{C^*}^{top} \circ A^f ,$$

where $K_{C^*}^{top}$ is the $\mathbf{Sp}$ -valued topological K -theory functor for C^* -algebras and A^f is the functor introduced in [Joa03] which sends a C^* -category to a universal C^* -algebra.

Other examples which do involve the $*$ -operation are given by variants of L -theory or Grothendieck-Witt theory functors. $\square$

Let G be a group. If $\mathbf{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, then we let $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ denote the object of $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ given by the category $\mathbf{C}$ with the trivial G -action.

Definition 15.3. *The equivariant K -theory functor associated to $K : \mathcal{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is defined as the composition*

$$\overline{K}^G : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(-)} \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}_\infty) \xrightarrow{j_!} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G), \mathcal{C}_\infty) \xrightarrow{K} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G), \mathbf{S}) . \quad (15.2)$$

Remark 15.4. This definition is very similar to the definition [BGS15] which in the case of a finite group G even produces a genuine G -equivariant spectrum in the incarnation of a spectral Mackey functor. $\square$

The goal of this section is to show that this definition is interesting and reproduces known examples.

We want to calculate the evaluations $\overline{K}^G(\mathbf{C})(G/H)$ for all subgroups H of G . By definition we have an equivalence

$$\overline{K}^G(\mathbf{C})(G/H) \simeq K(j_!(\ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}))(G/H)) . \quad (15.3)$$

So we must calculate the object $j_!(\ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}))(G/H)$ of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$. We now observe that $j_!$ is a left Kan-extension. The point-wise formula for the left Kan-extension gives an equivalence

$$j_!(\ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}))(G/H) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{BG/(G/H)} \ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) .$$

The functor $BH \rightarrow BG/(G/H)$ which sends the object $*$ to the projection $G \rightarrow G/H$ and the element h of $H = \mathbf{Aut}_{BH}(*)$ to the morphism in $BG/(G/H)$ given by left-multiplication by h is an equivalence of categories. Consequently, we get an equivalence

$$j_!(\ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}))(G/H) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{BH} \ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) . \quad (15.4)$$

Hence again, as in the case of limits, our task is to calculate a colimit of a diagram in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ in terms of one-categorical constructions.

Here is our main result. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ belongs to the list $\{*\mathbf{Cat}_1, *\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-lin}}, C*\mathbf{Cat}_1\}$ and that $\mathbf{C}$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 15.5. *We have*

$$\operatorname{colim}_{BG} \ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq \ell(\mathbf{C} \sharp BG) .$$

Proof. By Theorem 9.2 the category $\mathcal{C}$ has a combinatorial model category structure. We can thus consider the projective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. It is given by:

1. A weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ is a morphism whose underlying morphism is a unitary equivalence.
2. A fibration is a morphism in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ whose underlying morphism is a fibration.
3. A cofibration is a morphism in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ which has the left-lifting property with respect to trivial fibrations.

We again use the equivalence (13.2), but this time we model the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})[W_G^{-1}]$ through the projective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$. Let $L : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ be a cofibrant replacement functor. Then we have an equivalence

$$\operatorname{colim}_{BG} \ell(\underline{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq \ell(\operatorname{colim}_{BG} L(\underline{\mathbf{C}})) . \quad (15.5)$$

The main point of the proof is that we know an explicit cofibrant replacement functor. Recall the Definition 7.1 of the groupoid $\tilde{G}$. Furthermore recall the Convention 6.14 concerning the usage of $\sharp$. We consider functor

$$L := -\sharp \tilde{G} : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$$

together with the transformation $L \rightarrow \operatorname{id}$ induced by the morphism of G -groupoids $\tilde{G} \rightarrow *$.

Lemma 15.6. *The functor L together with the transformation $L \rightarrow \text{id}$ is a cofibrant replacement functor for the projective model category structure on $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \text{id}$ is an (non-equivariant) equivalence of groupoids and for every object $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})$ the functor $\mathbf{D} \sharp -$ from groupoids to $\mathcal{C}$ preserves unitary equivalences (see the proof of Lemma 10.2), the morphism $\mathbf{D} \sharp \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a weak equivalence. We must show that $L(\mathbf{D})$ is cofibrant. To this end we consider the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{A} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow c & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{D} \sharp \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{u} & \mathbf{B} \end{array}$$

where f is a trivial fibration in $\mathcal{C}$. Since f is surjective on objects we can find an inverse equivalence (possibly non-equivariant) $g : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ for f such that $f \circ g = \text{id}$. The map $\mathbf{D} \sharp \{1\} \xrightarrow{u|_{\mathbf{D} \sharp \{1\}}} \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{A}$ can uniquely be extended to an equivariant morphism c which is the desired lift. $\square$

For an object $\mathbf{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ we must calculate $\text{colim}_{BG} L(\mathbf{C}) \cong \text{colim}_{BG} (\underline{\mathbf{C}} \sharp \tilde{G})$. The following results facilitates the calculation of the colimit considerably.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$. For a second object $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we let $\mathbf{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D})_{u\text{-iso}}$ denote the subgroupoid of the functor category $\mathbf{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D})$ of all functors and unitary isomorphisms.

Lemma 15.7. *We have an adjunction*

$$\mathbf{A} \sharp - : \mathbf{Grpd}_1 \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, -)_{u\text{-iso}} .$$

Proof. For $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ in $\mathbf{Grpd}_1$ we construct a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A} \sharp \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{D}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Grpd}_1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D})_{u\text{-iso}}) .$$

This bjection sends a morphism Φ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A} \sharp \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{D})$ to Ψ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Grpd}_1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D})_{u\text{-iso}})$. Let Φ be given. We let g, h denote objects of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\phi : g \rightarrow h$ a be morphism. Then we define Ψ by

$$\Psi(g)(a) := \Phi(a, g) , \quad \Psi(g)(f) := \Phi(f, \text{id}_g) , \quad \Psi(\phi) := (\Phi(\text{id}_a, \phi))_{a \in \mathbf{A}} .$$

Here a is an object of $\mathbf{A}$ and f is a morphism in $\mathbf{A}$. Observe that $\Psi(\phi)$ is a unitary isomorphism since Φ is compatible with the involution and ϕ is a unitary isomorphism.

Vice versa, let Ψ be given. Then we define

$$\Phi(a, g) := \Psi(g)(a) , \quad \Phi(f, \phi) := \Psi(\phi)_a \circ \Psi(g)(f) .$$

This formula determines Φ on the generators of the morphisms. It can be extended by linearity and continuity. $\square$

Corollary 15.8. *We have an adjunction*

$$\underline{\mathbf{C}}\sharp - : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Grpd}_1) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) : \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C})}(\mathbf{C}, -)_{u-iso} .$$

Since $\underline{\mathbf{C}}\sharp -$ is a left-adjoint functor it commutes with colimits. Consequently we have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{BG}(\underline{\mathbf{C}}\sharp \tilde{G}) \cong \underline{\mathbf{C}}\sharp(\operatorname{colim}_{BG} \tilde{G}) . \quad (15.6)$$

Lemma 15.9. *We have an isomorphism $\operatorname{colim}_{BG} \tilde{G} \cong BG$.*

Proof. We check the universal property of the colimit. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be any groupoid. Then we have a natural bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Grpd}_1)}(\tilde{G}, \underline{\mathbf{K}}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Grpd}_1}(BG, \mathbf{K}) .$$

This bijection sends Φ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Grpd}_1)}(\tilde{G}, \underline{\mathbf{K}})$ to the morphism Ψ given by

$$\Psi(*) := \Phi(1) , \quad \Psi(g) := \Phi(1 \rightarrow g) .$$

If Ψ is given, then we define Φ by

$$\Phi(g) := \Psi(*) , \quad \Phi(g \rightarrow h) := \Psi(g^{-1}h) .$$

□

The assertion of Theorem 15.5 now follows from a combination of the relations (15.6), (15.5) and Lemma 15.9. □

Example 15.10. We consider the K -theory functors explained in Example 15.2. Recall that Δ^0 denotes the object classifier object of $\mathcal{C}$. In the cases ${}^*\mathbf{Cat}_1^{\mathbb{C}\text{-}lin}$ and $C^*\mathbf{Cat}_1$ it is given by the $*$ -algebra (or C^* -algebra) $\mathbb{C}$. We claim that the construction (15.2) applied to Δ^0 reproduces the usual equivariant algebraic or topological K -theory functors as considered e.g. in [DL98, Sec. 2].

Let us first consider the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear case. We then obtain by (15.4), (15.3), Theorem 15.5 and Example 6.9 that

$$\overline{K}^G(\Delta^0)(G/H) \simeq K(\ell(\Delta^0 \sharp BH)) \cong K_{ring}^{alg}(\mathbb{C}[H]) ,$$

where K_{ring}^{alg} is the algebraic K -theory functor for rings.

In the case of C^* -categories we again use (15.4), (15.3), Theorem 15.5, but now Example 6.11. We get

$$\overline{K}^G(\Delta^0)(G/H) \simeq K(\ell(\Delta^0 \sharp BH)) \cong K_{C^*}^{top}(C_{max}^*(H)) ,$$

where here $K_{C^*}^{top}$ is the topological K -theory functor for C^* -algebras. □

References

- [BC] U. Bunke and D.-Ch. Cisinski. A universal coarse k -theory. in preparation.
- [BE16] U. Bunke and A. Engel. Homotopy theory with bornological coarse spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.03657>, 2016.
- [BEK⁺a] U. Bunke, A. Engel, D. Kasprovski, Ch. Winges, and M. Ullmann. Equivariant coarse homotopy theory and coarse algebraic k -theory. in preparation.
- [BEK⁺b] U. Bunke, A. Engel, D. Kasprovski, Ch. Winges, and M. Ullmann. On the descent principle and injectivity of the forget-control map. in preparation.
- [BFJR04] A. Bartels, T. Farrell, L. Jones, and H. Reich. On the isomorphism conjecture in algebraic K -theory. *Topology*, 43(1):157–213, 2004.
- [BGS15] C. Barwick, S. Glasman, and J. Shah. Spectral mackey functors and equivariant algebraic k -theory (ii). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.03098>, 05 2015.
- [Cis03] D. Ch. Cisinski. Images directes cohomologiques dans les catégories de modèles. *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, pages 195–244, 2003.
- [Del10] I. Dell’Ambrogio. The unitary symmetric monoidal model category of small c^* -categories. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications, Vol. 14 (2012), No. 2*, pp.101-127, 04 2010.
- [DL98] J. F. Davis and W. Lück. Spaces over a Category and Assembly Maps in Isomorphism Conjectures in K - and L -Theory. *K-Theory*, 15:201–252, 1998.
- [Dug] Daniel Dugger. Combinatorial model categories have presentations. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0007068>.
- [Hov99] M. Hovey. *Model Categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 63. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [Joa03] M. Joachim. K -homology of C^* -categories and symmetric spectra representing K -homology. *Math. Ann.*, 327:641–670, 2003.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.